

GAHC010256002025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3795/2025

SMTI PUTUL BHUMIJ
WIFE OF LATE BRIJEN BHUMIJ, DAUGHTER OF LATE JUGAL TANTI,
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT BASHBARI GAON, P.O.- HIJUGURI, P.S. AND
DIST.- TINSUKIA, ASSAM PERMANENT RESIDENT OF UDALGURI TEA
ESTATE, PURANA LINE, P.- PANITOLA, P.S.- CHABUA, DIST.- DIBRUGARH,
ASSAM

VERSUS

ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO LTD
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT ORIENTAL HOUSE A/25/27 ASAF ALI
ROAD, NEW DELHI 110002 AND REGIONAL OFFICE AT GUWAHATI-7,
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF REGIONAL MANAGER.

2:SRI RUP CHAND KARMAKAR
SON OF RAM PRASAD KARMAKAR
RESIDENT OF LINE NO. 7
MAKUM
POST OFFICE
POLICE STATION- MAKUM
DISTRICT - TINSUKIA
ASSAM

3:SRI ROSAN KARMAKAR
SON OF LATE HARI CHAN KARMAKAR RESIDENT OF DINJAN TEA
ESTATE
PAKKA LINE
P.- PANITOLA
P.S AND DIST.- TINSUKIA
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS M G BISWAS,

Advocate for the Respondent : MR SISHIR DUTTA,

Linked Case : MACApp./400/2025

ORIENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT ORIENTAL HOUSE
A-25/27
ASAF ALI ROAD
NEW DELHI-110002
AND REGIONAL OFFICE AT GUWAHATI-7
REP. BY THE REGIONAL MANAGER.

VERSUS

SMTI PUTUL BHUMIJ AND ORS
W/O. LT. BROJEN BHUMIJ
D/O.LT. JUGAL TANTI
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT BASHBARI GAON
P/O. HIJUGURI
P/S. AND DIST. TINSUKIA
ASSAM
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF UDALGURI TEA ESTATE
PURANA LINE
P/O. PANITOLA
P/S. CHABUA
DIST. DIBRUGARH
ASSAM.

Advocate for : MR SISHIR DUTTA
Advocate for : appearing for SMTI PUTUL BHUMIJ AND ORS

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

Date : 23.02.2026

- 1.** Heard Mr. S. Nath, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. S. Dutta, learned counsel appearing for the opposite party No. 1.
- 2.** This application has been filed by the applicant/respondent No. 1 in connection with MAC Appeal No. 400/2025 praying for an expeditious hearing of

the connected appeal on the ground that the applicant is suffering from cancer.

3. The learned counsel for the Insurance Company does not oppose the prayer.

4. Considering the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties as well as grounds stated in the interlocutory application, the prayer made therein is allowed.

5. Let the connected MAC Appeal No. 400/2025 be listed for hearing on 5th of March, 2026.

6. This interlocutory application is disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant