

GAHC010212162025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3763/2025

NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED
REPRESENTED BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
REGIONAL OFFICE- GUWAHATI
NHIDCL
AGNISHANTI BUSINESS PARK
OPP. AGP OFFICE
GNB ROAD
AMBARI
GUWAHATI
PIN-781001

2: GENERAL MANAGER(P)

SOBOKO
NEAR ARUNODAYA HOSPITAL
DAKUAPARA
BOKO
KAMRUP R
ASSAM
PIN-78112
VERSUS

SMT BHARATI KALITA
W/O LATE PARAMA KALITA

2:SRI MONOJ KALITA
S/O LATE PARAMA KALITA

3:SRI PANKAJ KALITA

S/O LATE PARAMA KALITA

4:SRI MIKUL KALITA

S/O LATE PARAMA KALITA
ALL ARE RESIDENTS OF VILLAGE BORPARA
P.O. P.S.-BOKO
DISTRICT- KAMRUP R
ASSAM

5:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 6

6:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
KAMRUP
AMINGAON
ASSAM

7:THE ADDITIONAL DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
KAMRUP
AMINGAON
ASSAM.

8:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
BOKO REVENUE CIRCLE

9:SRI PRANAB KALITA
S/O. LATE PARAMA KALITA
R/O- VILLAGE BORPARA
P.O. P.S.- BOKO
DISTRICT KAMRUP R
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR C BARUAH
Advocate for : GA
ASSAM appearing for SMT BHARATI KALITA

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SUSMITA PHUKAN KHAUND

ORDER

Date : 18.02.2026
(K.R. Surana, J)

Heard Mr. C. Baruah, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. S.P.

Choudhury, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, who are the appellants in the connected appeal, Ms. N. Bordoloi, learned Standing Counsel for the respondent No. 5, representing Revenue and Disaster Management Department and Mr. H.K. Hazarika, learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents No. 6 to 8.

2. By filing this interlocutory application, the applicant is praying leave of this Court to file an impleadment petition. It is projected that the applicants, who were proper and necessary parties in the writ petition, were not impleaded and therefore, they are aggrieved by the order which is put to challenge in the connected WA/299/2025.

3. Although the learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4 have objection to the application, but taking into consideration the grievance raised by the applicant, this leave petition stands allowed by granting leave to the applicant to file an impleadment petition in the connected WA/299/2025.

4. This interlocutory application stands allowed and disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant