

GAHC010252712025



2026:GAU-AS:739

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2710/2025

BOSHIKA NEGI
WIFE OF RAKESH PAREEK, PRESENTLY RESIDING AT CHALIHA NAGAR,
P.O. TINSUKIA, P.S. TINSUKIA, PIN - 786125, DISTRICT - TINSUKIA, ASSAM.
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF G 4/65, RACE COURSE, NEAR POLICE LINES,
P.O. AND P.S. - ARAGHAR, DISTRICT DEHRADUN, UTTARAKHAND

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
. REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR. ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A M BORA, MS. C CHOUDHURY, MR. V A CHOWDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR
ORDER

20.01.2026

Heard Mr A M Bora, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Mr V A Choudhury, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr K K Das, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State of Assam.

2. By the order dated 14.11.2025, this Court had granted an interim protection to the petitioner subject to the following conditions:-

“i) *That the petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation.*

ii) *That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person who may be acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts of the case before the Investigating Officer. “*

3. Today, Mr A M Bora, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has appeared before the investigating authority and her statement has been recorded. He, therefore, submits that there is no necessity for custodial interrogation of the petitioner as of now.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has submitted that there is *prima facie* evidence against the petitioner. However, the petitioner has till now cooperated with the Investigating Officer by appearing before him and making her statement and by producing the documents.

5. In the facts and circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the interim protection granted to the petitioner by order dated 14.11.2025, deserves to be made absolute, keeping the terms and conditions as laid down in the said order intact.

6. Anticipatory Bail Application stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant