

GAHC010252712025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2710/2025

BOSHIKA NEGI
WIFE OF RAKESH PAREEK, PRESENTLY RESIDING AT CHALIHA NAGAR,
P.O. TINSUKIA, P.S. TINSUKIA, PIN - 786125, DISTRICT - TINSUKIA, ASSAM.
PERMANENT RESIDENT OF G 4/65, RACE COURSE, NEAR POLICE LINES,
P.O. AND P.S. - ARAGHAR, DISTRICT DEHRADUN, UTTARAKHAND

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
. REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR. ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A M BORA, MS. C CHOUDHURY, MR. V A CHOWDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

Date : 14.11.2025

- 1.** Heard Mr. A. M. Bora, the learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. V. A. Choudhury, the learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. P. Borthakur, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State respondent.

- 2.** This application under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 has been filed by one Smt. Boshika Negi, who has been arrested in connection with Tinsukia Police Case No. 347/2024 under Sections 120(B)/409/420/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code.
- 3.** The gist of the accusation in this case is that on 23.09.2024, the Regional Manager, Regional Business of the State Bank of India, Tinsukia had lodged an FIR before the Officer-in-Charge of the Tinsukia Police Station, inter-alia, alleging that it has come to the notice of the authorities that some loans have been sanctioned by the Tinsukia Branch of State Bank of India fraudulently by forging relevant documents.
- 4.** It is alleged that the Field Officer, Satyendra Kumar Parthak, and the present petitioner, who was acting as Branch Manager of said Bank in connivance with the borrowers, manipulated the consolidated customer credit reports and sanctioned a loan of Rs. 12,80,000/- to the borrower.
- 5.** It is alleged in the FIR, earlier also, the same borrower took different loans from the Dhimaji Branch of the State Bank of India.
- 6.** The learned senior counsel submits that the petitioner was employed as the Branch Manager of State Bank of India, in Hijuguri Branch. In the month of February, she noticed some anomalies in availing of loan by some of the customers, suspected to be using fake documents and accordingly, an FIR was laid by the present petitioner to that effect on 27.02.2022 and on the basis of the said FIR Tinsukia Tinsukia Police Case No. 46/2024

was registered. Thereafter, on 07.05.2024, the bank authorities lodged an FIR alleging anomalies in grant of loan wherein name of the present petitioner was also mentioned.

- 7.** On this the petitioner approached this court by filing an anticipatory bail and accordingly, in AB Case No. 1446/2024 by order dated 14.06.2024 the petitioner was granted anticipatory bail.
- 8.** The learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that it was the petitioner who allowed the authorities by filing the FIR at the first instance way back in the month of February 2024. He further submits that in a similar case where the petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail, she has been cooperating in the investigation.
- 9.** He has also submitted that being Branch Manager loan was sanctioned to the present petitioner on the basis of report of the Field Officer and, therefore, there was no intention to defraud or committed any offence by the present petitioner.
- 10.** He further submitted that the petitioner is a lady and the mother of seven month old baby and she is ready to cooperate in the investigation.
- 11.** He further submits that the petitioner was also suspended by the bank authority initially, however, she has been reinstated and has been transferred to Regional Business Office, Bordoloi Nagar, Tinsukia, State Bank of India.
- 12.** On the other hand, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor

prays for calling of the case diary and submits that this case is a different case from the case she was earlier granted bail and therefore, it has to be examined and prays for calling of the case diary.

13. Call for the case diary, fixing on 16.12.2025

14. In the meantime, considering the submission made by the learned senior counsel discussed in the foregoing paragraph the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer of Tinsukia Police Case No. 347/2024 within a period of seven days from the date of this order and co-operate with the Investigating Officer in fair completion of investigation. If she does so within the said period, in the event of her arrest in connection with Tinsukia Police Case No. 347/2024 she shall be allowed to go on interim bail of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only), with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority subject to the following conditions:

i. That the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation.

ii. That the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person who may be acquainted with the facts of the case, so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts of the case before the Investigating Officer.

15. Let this matter be listed on 16.12.2025, for case diary.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant