



GAHC010238692019



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/7448/2019

SYED TAFIKUDDIN AHMED
S/O- SYED MAMTAZ ALI, R/O- VILL- TITKURI, P.O- TITKURI, DIST-
KAMRUP(R), PIN- 781354

VERSUS

THE ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD. (APDCL) AND 3 ORS
BIJULEE BHAWAN, PALTAN BAZAR, GUWAHATI- 781001, TO BE REP. BY
ITS DIRECTOR (PERSONAL)

2:CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
APDCL
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781001

3:ASSAM POWER GENERATION CORP LIMITED
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781001
TO BE REP. BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR

4:GENERAL MANAGER
APGCL
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 78100

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. B K DAS

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, APDCL



Linked Case : WP(C)/5652/2020

SYED TAFIKUDDIN AHMED
S/O LATE SYED MAMTAZ ALI
R/O VILL-TITKUCHI
P.O.-TITKUCHI
DIST-KAMRUP
PIN-781334

VERSUS

THE ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD (APDCL) AND 3 ORS.
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-781001. TO BE REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR (PERSONAL)

2:CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LIMITED
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-781001

3:ASSAM POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED (APGCL)
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-781001. TO BE REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR

4:GENERAL MANAGER
ASSAM POWER GENERATION CORPORATION LIMITED (APGCL)
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-781001

Advocate for : MR. B K DAS

Advocate for : SC

APDCL appearing for THE ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD
(APDCL) AND 3 ORS.



**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MICHAEL ZOTHANKHUMA**

ORDER

Date : 21.10.2022

Heard Mr. B.K. Das, learned counsel for the petitioner, who submits that the petitioner took part in the selection process for appointment to 4 (four) vacant un-reserved category posts of Assistant Account Officer, in pursuance to the Advertisement dated 14.08.2018.

The petitioner's case is that due to the wrong answer keys made by the respondents, the petitioner had not been given the marks that were to be awarded to him. He submits that though all the 4 (four) un-reserved category posts had been filled up, 3 (three) by un-reserved category persons and 1 (one) by an OBC, there is no requirement of making those appointed persons parties to the writ petition. He submits that if the petitioner succeeds, one of those appointed persons would automatically have to make way for the petitioner's appointment, without giving them opportunity of being heard by this Court.

List the matter on **09.11.2022**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant