



GAHC010238692019



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/7448/2019

SYED TAFIKUDDIN AHMED
S/O- SYED MAMTAZ ALI, R/O- VILL- TITKURI, P.O- TITKURI, DIST-
KAMRUP(R), PIN- 781354

VERSUS

THE ASSAM POWER DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD. (APDCL) AND 3 ORS
BIJULEE BHAWAN, PALTAN BAZAR, GUWAHATI- 781001, TO BE REP. BY
ITS DIRECTOR (PERSONAL)

2:CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
APDCL
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781001

3:ASSAM POWER GENERATION CORP LIMITED
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781001
TO BE REP. BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR

4:GENERAL MANAGER
APGCL
BIJULEE BHAWAN
PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI- 78100

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. B K DAS, MR. H P NEOG,MR H P GUWALA

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, APDCL, MR D NATH, SC,APGCL(R-3 & 4)

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

ORDER

28-03-2025

1. Pursuant to this Court's earlier order dated 05.03.2025, an additional affidavit has been filed. Mr. K.P. Pathak, learned Standing counsel, APDCL, referring to Page No. 193 of the affidavit which reflects the marks granted to the petitioner, contends that the petitioner was awarded one mark each against question IDs 10337, 10338, 10343 & 10345 inasmuch as such marks were granted as the answer keys were itself wrong, therefore, the petitioner could not have any grievance in this regard. However, the learned counsel fairly submits that there is no reflection of negative mark in Page No. 193 and therefore, does not answer the issue raised by the petitioner that he might have been given negative mark for answering wrong question ,though the answer key itself was wrong.
2. Mr. Pathak, learned Standing counsel APDCL, submits that he may be granted some time to complete his instruction and if necessary, a short affidavit may be filed as regards the issue of granting negative mark to the petitioner.
3. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor, Assam submits the records so far relating to the selection process which are available before the Court of learned Special Judge, CBI, Assam in connection with Special Case No. 43/2023.
4. In view of the observation made hereinabove, this Court is of the opinion



that for the time being, such records may not be necessary.

5. List this matter again on **23.04.2025.**

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant