

GAHC010236392024



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3495/2024**

THE SCHOOL MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE (SMDC)  
AMRANGA BARIHAT H.S. SCHOOL, AMRANGA, KAMRUP, ASSAM, 781125

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 6 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,  
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-781006

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION

ASSAM  
KAHILIPARA  
GUWAHATI -781019

3:THE DIRECTOR OF PENSION AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES

ASSAM  
DISPUR  
GUWAHATI-781006

4:THE PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT GENERAL

A.G. OFFICE  
BELTOLA  
GUWAHATI.

5:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS

KAMRUP DISTRICT CIRCLE  
DADARA  
DIST. KAMRUP

ASSAM

6:THE PRINCIPAL  
AMRANGA BARIHAT H.S. SCHOOL  
AMRANGA  
KAMRUP  
ASSAM

7:DR NAGEN CH DAS  
RETIRED PRINCIPAL AMRANGA BARIHAT H.S. SCHOOL  
S/O LATE GAMALU RAM DAS VILL- MIRZA  
NATUN BAZAR  
HARESWAR PATH  
P.O. MIRZA P.S. PALASHBARI  
PIN-781125 DIST. KAMRUP  
ASSA

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR D KALITA, MR H J TAMULI,MR. A K SARMA

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GA, ASSAM, SC, AG (A AND E),SC, SEC. EDU.

**BEFORE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN  
ORDER**

**13.10.2025**

None appears for the applicant on call.

However, Ms. H. Terangpi, learned standing counsel for the respondents in Secondary Education Department, Ms. R.R. Saikia, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. S.K. Medhi, learned standing counsel for the respondent AG and Ms. D.D. Barman, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate appearing for the respondent No.3 are present.

Later on, Mr. A.K. Sarma, learned counsel for the applicant appeared and submits that this interlocutory application is filed for granting leave to file a review application against the order dated 24.09.2024 passed in WP(C) No.632/2024.

Mr. Sarma, learned counsel for the applicant submits that vide order dated 24.09.2024 the WP(C) No.632/2024 was disposed of by this Court and that the present applicant was not arrayed as a party in the aforementioned writ proceeding and the order was passed without hearing the present applicant and that the respondent No.7 has embezzled an amount of Rs.21,00,000/- and if the respondent No.7 is allowed to withdraw his pension and pensionary benefit, then serious prejudice will be caused to the present applicant and as such, there is a requirement of reviewing the order dated 24.09.2024 and accordingly, he prays for granting leave to the applicant to file review petition against the order dated 24.09.2024.

The submission of Mr. Sarma, learned counsel for the applicant needs further consideration.

At this stage, let notice be issued to the respondents, returnable within 4(four) weeks.

Step be taken by registered post with A/D and also by usual process within a week from today.

However, no formal step is required to be taken in respect of respondent Nos.1—4, as they are represented by their engaged counsel. However, extra requisite copy of the application be served upon the learned counsel appearing for the respondents/opposite parties.

List the matter after 4(four) weeks.

**Sd/- Robin Phukan**  
**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**