

GAHC010235432022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/7560/2022

HITESH SARMA MEDHI AND 7 ORS
S/O- LT. SADANANDA SARMA MEDHI, R/O- H.NO. 198, RAJGARH
BIHUTOLI, RAJGARH ROAD, DIST.- KAMRUP (M), GHY-07

2: RUP KONWAR GOGOI
S/O- LT. JOGEN GOGOI
R/O- H.NO. 13
BYE LANE NO.4
ASHOK PATH
JATIA
KAHILIPARA
KAMRUP (M)
GHY-19

3: PADUM PRASAD BORA
S/O- LT. PURAB CHANDRA BORA
R/O- PRAFULLA ENCLAVE
H.NO. 23
BYE LANE NO.9
SEWALI PATH
HATIGAON
KAMRUP (M)
GHY-38

4: BHUBENESWAR BORPUJARI
S/O- LT. JOGESWAR SARMA
R/O- H.NO. 33
SURABHI NAGAR
HILL VIEW ROAD
PARAG DAS PATH
BAMUNIMAIDAM
NOONMATI
KAMRUP (M)
GHY-21

5: NIRUPAMA DAS
W/O- SRI PRASSANA DAS
R/O- H.NO. 97
HENGRABARI
NEAR NABAJYOTI CLUB
KAMRUP (M)
GHY-36

6: PRABIN BARMAN
S/O- LT. BIRUHARAM BARMAN
R/O- BELTOLA SURVEY
SAMANAY PATH
GHY-28

7: RATNESWAR MAHANTA
S/O- LT. PRASANA MAHANTA
R/O- ADABARI
P.S. MUKALMUA
DIST.- NALBARI
PIN- 781126

8: PRADIP TALUKDAR
S/O- LT. MAHENDRA TALUKDAR
R/O- VILL- KANIA
NALBARI
ASSAM
PIN- 78133

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY., DEPTT. OF HOUSING AND URBAN
AFFAIRS, GOVT. OF ASSAM, DISPUR, GHY-06

2: THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FINANCE DEPTT.
DISPUR
GHY-06

3: THE GUWAHATI METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
REP. BY THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
STATEFED BUILDING
GMC HOSPITAL ROAD
BHANGAGARH
GHY-05

4: THE CHAIRMAN

GUWAHATI METROPOLITAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY THIRD FLOOR
STATEFED BUILDING
GMC HOSPITAL ROAD
BHANGAGARH
GHY-0

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. K N CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, FINANCE

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

12.05.2023

Heard Mr. D. J. Das, the learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. C. S. Hazarika, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent No.1. Also heard Mr. B. Gogoi, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Finance Department as well as Ms. S. Chutia, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the GMDA.

2. The case of the petitioners herein pertains to the petitioners' entitlement for pension as well as pensionary benefits. It appears on record that on 25.11.2022, this Court had issued notice but none of the respondents have filed their affidavit(s). It further appears from the record that in the meantime, all the petitioners have retired.

3. Taking into account the same, let this matter be listed again on **23.06.2023**.

4. The respondents are directed to file their affidavit(s) by 16.06.2023.

4. The petitioners, if so advised, may file their reply on or before the next date.

5. It further appears on record that out of 8 petitioners, court fees of only

one petitioner has been paid. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would do the needful in that regard.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant