

GAHC010223782025



2026:GAU-AS:5789

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3287/2025

NAJIMA BEGUM
W/O. LATE MUSTAFA ALI

2: NASIR UDDIN
SON OF LATE MUSTAFA ALI

3: NAJIRUL ALI
SON OF LATE MUSTAFA ALI

NOS 2 AND 3 ARE THE SONS OF LATE MUSTAFA ALI. BOTH ARE BEING
MINOR REP. BY THEIR MOTHER THE APPLICANT NO 1

4: FAJAR BANU
W/O. ABDUL SALAM.

ALL ARE RESIDENT OF BORBHETI
P.S. NAGAON SADAR
DIST. NAGAON
ASSAM. PIN - 782122

VERSUS

NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED
A COMPANY REGISTERED AND INCORPORATED UNDER THE COMPANIES
ACT, 1956, HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AT 3, MIDDLETON STREET,
KOLKATA AND ONE OF ITS REGIONAL OFFICE AT G.S. ROAD,
BHANGAGARH, GUWAHATI, REPRESENTED BY ITS REGIONAL
MANAGER, GUWAHATI ASSAM

2:MD ABUL HUSSAIN
S/O MD. TAFAZUL HOQUE R/O PANIGAON KACHALUKHOWA
P.S. NAGAON SADAR
DIST. NAGAON

ASSAM. PIN - 782001

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M TALUKDAR,

Advocate for the Respondent : MISS S ROY,

Linked Case : MACApp./236/2025

NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD
A COMPANY REGISTERED AND INCORPORATED UNDER THE COMPANIES
ACT
1956
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE AND HEAD OFFICE AT 3
MIDDLETON STREET
KOLKATA AND ITS REGIONAL OFFICE AT BHANGAGARH
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI-781005 REP. BY THE CHIEF REGIONAL MANAGER
GUWAHATI ASSAM.

VERSUS

NAJIMA BEGUM AND 4 ORS A
W/O. LT. MUSTAFA ALI

2:NASIR UDDIN
S/O. LT. MUSTAFA ALI

3:NAJIRUL ALI
W/O. LT. MUSTAFA ALI
NOS. 2 AND 3 ARE THE SONS OF LT. MUSTAFA ALI
BOTH ARE BEING MINOR REP. BY THEIR MOTHER
THE RESPONDENT NO. 1

4:FAJAR BANU
W/O. ABDUL SALAM

6:ABUL HUSSAIN
S/O. MD. TAFAZUL HOQUE
P/O. PANIGAON KACHALUKHOWA
P/S. NAGAON SADAR
DIST. NAGAON
ASSAM

PIN-782001.

Advocate for the applicant(s): Mr. M Talukdar

Advocate for the respondent(s):

B E F O R E
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH

ORDER

24.04.2026

Heard Mr. M Talukdar, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the applicants.

2. This is an application filed by the applicants, who were the respondent Nos.1, 2, 3 and 4 in MAC Appeal No.236/2025.

3. The grievance of the applicants herein is that though in the Memo of Appeal, the respondent Nos.2 and 3, who are the applicant Nos.2 and 3 herein have been shown as sons of Lt. Mustafa Ali, but in the certified copy which was issued, the applicant Nos.2 & 3/respondent Nos.2 and 3 in the appeal has been shown as the wife of Lt. Mustafa Ali.

4. It is the opinion of this Court that the mistake was committed by the Registry at the time of inserting the name in the CIS and as such, on account of such mistakes committed by the Registry, the respondents/applicants herein ought not to suffer.

5. This Court also takes note of that originally the address of the respondent

Nos.1 to 5 were mentioned in the Memo of Appeal as having the same address. This Court struck off the respondent No.5. But it appears that the Registry of this Court while striking out the respondent No.5 also struck off the address of the respondent Nos.1 to 4. Under such circumstances, it is noticed that the respondent Nos.1 to 4 is shown without any address. This is also another fault on the part of the Registry thereby resulting in absence of the address of the respondent Nos.1, 2, 3 and 4.

6. Accordingly, this Court directs the Registry to correct the data in the CIS in terms with the memo of appeal. The Registry shall also insert in the CIS the address of the respondent Nos.1, 2, 3 and 4 which is also mentioned in the memo of appeal and thereupon provide a certified copy of the order.

7. Interlocutory application, accordingly, stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant