

GAHC010222842024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5587/2024

MITHILA KANU
SRI RATAN LAL KANU @ RATAN KANU, VILL- NUTAN BAZAR, P.O.
NARSINGPUR, P.S. DHOLAI DIST.- CACHAR, ASSAM, PIN- 788115

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI-06

2:THE COMMISSIONER
PANCHAYAT AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
PANJABARI
GUWAHATI- 37

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CACHAR
SILCHAR
DIST. CACHAR
ASSAM- 788001

4:THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER CUM EXECUTIVE OFFICER
NARSINGPUR ANCHALIK PANCHAYAT
DIST.- CACHAR
ASSAM- 788116

5:THE PRESIDENT
NARSINGPUR ANCHALIK PANCHAYAT
SONAI
DIST.- CACHAR
ASSAM

PIN- 788116

6:THE PRESIDENT
CLEVER HOUSE GAON PANCHAYAT
SONAI
CACHAR
ASSAM- 788116

7:THE SECRETARY
CLEVER HOUSE GAON PANCHAYAT
SONAI
CACHAR
ASSAM- 788116

8:THE BLOCK DEVELOPMENT OFFICER CUM EXECUTIVE OFFICER
TAPANG ANCHALIK PANCHAYAT
DIST.- CACHAR
ASSAM-788150

9:THE PRESIDENT
TAPANG ANCHALIK PANCHAYAT

SONAI
DIST.- CACHAR
ASSAM PIN-788150

10:THE PRESIDENT
CLEVER HOUSE CHAH BAGICH GAON PANCHAYAT

SONAI
CACHAR
ASSAM
PIN-788112.

11:THE SECRETARY
CLEVER HOUSE CHAH BAGICHA GAON PANCHAYAT

SONAI
CACHAR
ASSAM
PIN-788112

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR M J QUADIR, MS S AHMED,MR. A K HANNAN

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, P AND R.D.

**BEFORE
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDL.) MR. CHINMOY BARUAH**

ORDER

Date : 07.04.2026

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

Learned counsel Mr. A.K. Hannan appears on behalf of the petitioner. Heard.

Perused the records.

A perusal of the recent office note dated 18.03.2026 reveals that the notice was issued to the respondent Nos. 8 & 11 by way of registered post with A/D as well as by way of usual process on 16.09.2025 & 15.09.2025 respectively.

Now, in respect of the above mentioned notices issued to the respondent Nos. 8 & 11, it has been observed as follows:—

(i) In respect of the notice issued through registered post with A/D, it appears that neither the A/D cards nor any un-served notices have been received by the registry till date. Besides the aforesaid, the concerned section has stated that the postal tracking details regarding the said notice are not available at the Indian Post Website.

*(ii) However, it appears that the process server's report regarding the notice issued through usual process has been received and the same has been placed at **Flag-'SR' in the Part-III file**. Now, a perusal of the said service report reveals that the notice was received by the respondents themselves and they have acknowledged the receipt of the notice by affixing their signatures and the official seals on the return copy of the notice.*

In view of the observations made above, it may be discerned that the notice issued through usual process has been duly served upon the respondent Nos. 8 & 11. Therefore, it may be said that **service in respect of the said respondents (i.e., respondent No. 8 & 11) has been completed.**

Pursuant to the above, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Joint Registrar (Judicial)

Comparing Assistant