

GAHC010215702025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5629/2025

KUNAL DEB ROY
SON OF KIRANMAY DEB ROY, RESIDENT OF 5TH BYE LANE, SHANTI
PATH WEST, HOUSE NO. 20, BHASKAR NAGAR, GUWAHATI-781018.

VERSUS

THE STATE BANK OF INDIA AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN, STATE BANK OF INDIA, STATE BANK
BHAVAN, MADAME CAMA ROAD, NARIMAN POINT, MUMBAI
MAHARASHTRA-400021.

2:THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
NORTH EAST CIRCLE
LOCAL HEAD OFFICE
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006.

3:THE DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER AND CIRCLE DEVELOPMENT
OFFICER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
LOCAL HEAD OFFICE
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006.

4:THE DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER (BUSINESS AND OPERATION)
STATE BANK OF INDIA
GUWAHATI ZONE
ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE
BHANGAGARH
GUWAHATI-78103.

5:THE ASSTT GENERAL MANAGER (HR)
STATE BANK OF INDIA LOCAL HEAD OFFICE DISPUR GUWAHATI-06.

6:THE CHIEF MANAGER (HR)
STATE BANK OF INDIA
BHANGAGARH
GUWAHATI-781003

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M DAS, MR. A DAS,MS. P NEOG

Advocate for the Respondent : H. BORUAH (FOR SBI), MR. H BURAGOHAIN (FOR SBI)

BEFORE
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (BENCH) - MR. SAPTARSHI DAS

ORDER

Date : 31.10.2025

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Perused the records.

Perusal of the instant case record reveals that the Hon'ble Court vide its order dated 22.09.2025 had directed the petitioner to take fresh steps for service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 1 to 6 by way of registered post with A/D as well as by usual course. Vide the same order, the petitioner was also permitted to take fresh steps through *dasti mode* for service of notice upon the respondent No. 6.

In pursuant to the order stated above, it appears that the petitioner has taken fresh steps for service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 1 to 6 through registered post with A/D on 13.10.2025. Additionally, fresh steps upon the respondent No. 6 were taken through *dasti mode* as well, which was issued on 09.10.2025. However, no steps for issuance of notice through usual process have been received from the petitioner.

Now, in respect of the aforesaid notice issued to the respondent Nos. 1 to 6, it has been observed as follows:—

In respect of the notice issued through registered post with A/D:— In this

regard, it appears that neither the A/D cards nor any un-served notices have been received by the concerned section till date. Further, it appears that the postal track consignment details regarding the aforesaid notice issued to the respondent Nos. 1 to 6 have been generated by the concerned section and tagged with the case record at **Flag-'TC'**. The said report shows the status as follows:- **"Article not booked- No booking information found for this article number"**.

In respect of the notice issued through dasti mode to the respondent No. 6:-

In respect of the said notice, it appears that an affidavit regarding service of the said notice has been filed by the petitioner **(at Flag-'A')**, wherein, it has been stated that notice was duly served upon the respondent No. 6 and the same has been acknowledged by the said respondent. As such, it may be said that **service in respect of the respondent No. 6 has been completed.**

Further, **it has been observed that a vakalatnama dated 15.10.2025 has been filed by the learned counsels Mr. H. Buragohain and Ms. H. Boruah. Now, upon a careful perusal of the said vakalatnama, it has been observed that the vakalatnama does not mention the specific respondent number on which behalf the said vakalatnama has been filed, instead it has been mentioned as filed on behalf of the "Respondents, SBI". As such, it is hard to ascertain on which respondent's behalf the vakalatnama has been filed.**

Moreover, it appears that the matter has been fixed by the Hon'ble Court for listing the instant matter on 03.11.2025. Accordingly, the matter is laid before the Hon'ble Court.

Deputy Registrar (Bench)

Comparing Assistant