

GAHC010214812022



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : RSA/183/2022

KHANIKAR TEA ESTATE PVT. LTD. AND ANR.
(UNDER COMPANIES ACT)

2: THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
NAMELY SABIR AHMED (AGE 49 YEARS)
S/O LATE ALHAZ BAKHTIAR AHMED

RESIDENT OF NIRMALIGAON MANKATA KHANIKAR
MOUZA DIBRUGARH
PS DIBRUGARH SADAR POLICE STATION
PO AND DIST DIBRUGARH
ASSA

VERSUS

SRI SRIDAKHINPAT SATRA AND ANR.
REPRESENTED BY THE SATRADHIKAR SHREE SHREE NONI GOPAL DEBO
GOSWAMI,
S/O PITAMBAR DEVA GOSWAMI,
RESIDENT OF MAJULI SHREE SHREE DAKHINPAT SATRA, MOUZA
SALAMORA KAMALBARI SATRADHIKAR, DIST JORHAT, PO JORHAT,
ASSAM

2:SRI BUP BAYAN
S/O MOINA SAIKIA
RESIDENT OF DAKHINPAT SATRA

PS KAMALABARI
PO DAKHINOAT SATRA MOUZA SALMARA MAJULI
DIST JORHAT
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A SARMA, MR Z MUKIT,MR. LENTSO,MR. A SATTAR

Advocate for the Respondent : FOR CAVEATOR, MR B DEORI (R-2),MR G BHARADWAJ (R-2),MR. A C SARMA (R-2),MR. S C KEYAL(R-1,2),MS. K VERMA(R-1,2),MR. P G BHAGAWATI,MS. R DEKA,MR. S S GOSWAMI

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/3642/2022

KHANIKA TEA ESTATE PVT. LTD. AND ANR.
(UNDER COMPANIES ACT)

2: THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
NAMELY SABIR AHMED (AGE 49 YEARS)
S/O LATE ALHAZ BAKHTIAR AHMED

RESIDENT OF NIRMALIGAON MANKATA KHANIKA
MOUZA DIBRUGARH
PS DIBRUGARH SADAR POLICE STATION
PO AND DIST DIBRUGARH
ASSAM
VERSUS

SRI SRIDAKHINPAT SATRA AND ANR.
REPRESENTED BY THE SATRADHIKAR SHREE SHREE NONI GOPAL DEBO
GOSWAMI
S/O PITAMBAR DEVA GOSWAMI
RESIDENT OF MAJULI SHREE SHREE DAKHINPAT SATRA
MOUZA SALAMORA KAMALBARI SATRADHIKAR
DIST JORHAT
PO JORHAT
ASSAM

2:SRI BUP BAYAN
S/O MOINA SAIKIA
RESIDENT OF DAKHINPAT SATRA

PS KAMALABARI
PO DAKHINOAT SATRA MOUZA SALMARA MAJULI
DIST JORHAT
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR. A SARMA
Advocate for : appearing for SRI SRIDAKHINPAT SATRA AND ANR.

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

ORDER

Date : 08.01.2026

1. Heard Mr. W. Rahman, the learned counsel submitting on behalf of Mr. A. Sattar, the learned counsel for the appellant, who prays for an adjournment on the ground that the conducting counsel for the appellant is not well today.
2. The learned senior counsel, Mr. A. C. Sarma for the respondent No.2, considering the ground stated by the learned counsel for the appellant does not raise any objection to the said prayer, however, he request that the matter may be fixed on 27.01.2026 as the first hearing item.
3. The prayer is allowed.
4. Let this matter be listed on 27.01.2026.
5. The interim order passed earlier shall continue till the next date.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant