

GAHC010212492022



undefined

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : W.P.(Crl.)/37/2022**

JOYDEB DAS  
S/O LATE KARUNAMOY DAS,  
HOUSE NO. 16 AMBARI FATASHIL, KUSHAL KONWAR ROAD, NEAR  
MAYUR KRISHNA CINEMA HALL, GUWAHATI, 781025, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, HOME AND  
POLITICAL DEPARTMENT, GOVT. OF ASSAM, ASSAM SECRETARIATE,  
DISPUR , GUWAHATI 05

2:THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE  
ASSAM POLICE HEADQUATER  
ULUBARI  
GUWAHATI 781007

3:THE ADDITIONAL DGP  
BI (EO)  
SRIMANTAPUR CHILARAI NAGAR  
GUWAHATI 07

4:SHYAMAL BANIA  
INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
BI(EO) SRIMANTAPUR CHILARIA NAGAR  
GUWAHATI 07

5:DEPUTY DIRECTOR

FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
DC OFFICE  
SONITPUR

TEZPUR

6:AREA INSPECTOR  
FOOD AND CIVIL SUPPLIES AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
DC OFFICE  
SONITPUR  
TEZPUR

7:CENTRAL BUREUA OF INVESTIGATION  
LODHI ROAD  
NEW DELHI 110003  
REPRESENTED BY ITS DIRECTOR GENERAL

8:VIJAY KUMAR NANDA  
S/O MULKA RAJ NANDA

RESIDENT OF GAHAM NAGAR  
MAZGAON  
NEAR B.ED COLLEGE  
PO NIKAMUL  
PS TEZPUR  
DIST SONITPUR  
ASSA

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. A BHATTACHARYA, MR. R K D CHOUDHURY,MR. S BIKASH,MS. L DEVI,MS. K MALAKAR,MS P BORA,MS P DUTTA,MR. A BHATTACHARJEE

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GA, ASSAM, MS. U NANDA (R-8),MS A BORAH,MR. S C BISWAS (R-8),MR P KATAKI (R-8),MR D KALITA (R-4),MS. S KAKATI (R-4),MR. S BORTHAKUR (R-4),SC, CBI

**BEFORE**  
**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 06.08.2025**

**1.** Heard Mr. R.K.D Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioners. Also heard Mr. S.C Biswas, learned counsel appearing respondent No. 8. Ms. M Kumari, learned Standing counsel for C.B.I appears for respondent No. 7

and Mr. A Deka, learned counsel who is appearing on behalf of Mr. S Borthakur, learned counsel for respondent No. 4.

**2.** It appears that all the respondents appeared in this case.

**3.** Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that due to inadvertence, with regard to respondent 7, it is stated in the writ petition that it could be represented by Director General. He submits that as there is no Director General in the C.B.I, he needs to amend the cause title and put correct designation of the authority representing the C.B.I.

**4.** Prayer is allowed.

**5.** The petitioners are allowed to file an amended cause title in this case. He shall do so within a week.

**6.** The copy of the amended cause title shall also be served on the respondent side.

**7.** List accordingly.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**