

GAHC010210602015



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C) 1647/2015

1:DIPNARAYAN BORAH
C/O LT. DEBENDRA NATH BORAH R/O VILL- ULUANI GAON, P.O. RUPAHI
P.S. RUPAHI HAT, DIST. NAGAON, ASSAM PIN - 782125.

VERSUS

1:THE STATE BANK OF INDIA and 6 ORS
REP. BY THE CHAIRMAN, CENTRAL OFFICE, CHAIRMAN'S SECRETARIAT,
PN.B. NO.1 2, NARIMAN POINT, MUMBAI-400021.

2:THE DEPUTY MANAGING DIRECTOR
and CIRCLE DEVELOPMENT OFFICER DMD and CDO STATE BANK
BHAWAN CENTRAL OFFICE
8TH FLOOR
MADAME CAMÉ MARG
MUMBAI- 400021.

3:THE CHIEF GENERAL MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
LOCAL HEAD OFFICE
NORTH EASTERN CIRCLE
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI
P.O. ASSAM SACHIVALAYA
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006.

4:THE GENERAL MANAGER
APPOINTING AUTHORITY STATE BANK OF INDIA
NETWORK-I
LOCAL HEAD OFFICE
NORTH EASTERN CIRCLE
G.S. ROAD
GUWAHATI

P.O. ASSAM SACHIVALAYA
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006.

5:THE ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
REGIONAL BUSINESS OFFICE
REGION-III
MARWARIPATTY
NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN NO. 782001.

6:THE BRANCH MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
DHING BRANCH
DHING
NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN - 782123.

7:THE BRANCH MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
LANKA
BRANCH
LANKA
NAGAON
ASSAM
PIN - 782446

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.G GOSWAMI

Advocate for the Respondent : MR.K K DEYR-1 to 7

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HRISHIKESH ROY

ORDER

04.05.2018

The petitioner served as Officer Marketing Recovery in the *State Bank of India* (SBI) and he superannuated on 06.02.2012. The SBI has quantified Rs.4,66,899.75 as the payable dues for the

retired employee as can be seen from the release order dated 26.05.2014 (Annexure-H). But Mr. M. Phukan, the learned counsel submits that this sum does not take into account the increment for the year 2011-2012 and further claim towards interest.

However, Mr. K. Dey, the learned counsel for the SBI submits that such increment is not payable as the petitioner has superannuated on 06.02.2012. The necessary counter affidavit by the bank has also been filed on 29.09.2015 in this line.

In view of above, let Rule be issued. Fresh notice is unnecessary. The Registry should post this case for final hearing, in due turn.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant