

GAHC010205702024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(CrI.)/201/2025

RAM KUMAR CHETRY
S/O LT. LAL BAHADUR CHETRY
VILL-BIRUBARI, NIZORAPAR
P.S. PALTAN BAZAR,
DIST. KAMRUP (M), ASSAM
PIN-781016

VERSUS

REKHA DAS
D/O LAKHI DAS ,
NIJORAPAR
P.S.PALTANBAZAR
DIST. KAMRUP(M), ASSAM
PIN-781016

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A Z AHMED, M R TALUKDAR,M M RAHMAN

Advocate for the Respondent : MRS R B BORAH (LEGAL AID COUNSEL),

Linked Case :

RAM KUMAR CHETRY

VERSUS

REKHA DAS (F)

Advocate for : M M RAHMAN

Advocate for : appearing for REKHA DAS (F)

BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA
O R D E R

Date : 20.05.2026.

Heard Mr. M.M. Rahman, learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner. Also heard Ms. R.B. Borah, learned Legal Aid Counsel appearing for the respondent.

It is submitted by Ms. Bora, the learned Legal Aid Counsel that she has filed objection on behalf of the respondent against the prayer for delay condonation. However, she submitted that the copy of the Interlocutory Application which was supplied to her, the delay was mentioned as 2820 days and on the basis of the same, the objection was filed by him.

But after perusal of the record only it has come to the her knowledge that as per the calculation of the Registry, the delay is of 3019 and the same is inserted in the corrected petition. But as the copy that was supplied to her, the delay was shown as 2820, the filed the objection accordingly.

However, considering the submission made by the learned counsel for the respondent, who raised objection in regards to the condonation of delay, the same may be considered at the time of hearing of the present Interlocutory Application.

Let the matter be listed on 10.06.2026, in the order column of the cause list.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant