

GAHC010202322021



2026:GAU-AS:763

**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/6694/2021**

VINCENT DAIMARY  
S/O LT. SUMENDRA DAIMARY, R/O VILL KALBARI, PO SASTRAPARA, PS  
AND DISTRICT UDALGURI, BTC (BTR), ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS  
REP BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF  
ASSAM, FINANCE DEPARTMENT, ASSAM, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-781006

2:THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF ASSAM  
DISPUR  
GUWAHATI-781006

3:THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY REVENUE AND DISASTER  
MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT  
DISPUR  
GUWAHATI-6

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
UDALGURI  
BTC (BTR)  
ASSAM  
PIN-784509

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
DARRANG  
MANGALDOI  
PIN-784125

6:THE BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL  
REP BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY

KOKRAJHAR  
BTAD (BTAR)  
ASSA

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. R M CHOUDHURY,

**Advocate for the Respondent** : MR. R BARPUJARI (SC, FINANCE AND SC, REVENUE & DISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT, R- 1,3 ), MR. S R RABHA (SC, BTC, R-6),MS. S SARMA (GA, ASSAM, R-2,4,5)

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

**ORDER**

**Date : 22.01.2026**

None appears for the petitioner on call.

Ms. M. Barman, learned State Counsel; Mr. N. R. Sarma, learned Standing Counsel, BTC; and Ms. N. Bordoloi, learned Standing Counsel, Revenue Department, are present.

The claim of the petitioner is for payment of enhanced *ex gratia* amount of Rs. 5,00,000/- (Rupees five lakhs) only pursuant to the Notifications dated 15.11.2014 & 06.12.2014 on account of the death of his father, who reportedly was killed by the Bodo Extremists on 26.06.1989 at Udalguri weekly market.

The petitioner, being the next of kin of the deceased, has been granted *ex gratia* of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees one lakh) only and Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees two lakhs) only, making a total amount of Rs. 3,00,000/- (Rupees three lakhs) only under the Notification/Policy existed during the relevant period. Since the

petitioner has already been paid *ex gratia* amount of Rs. 3,00,000/- under the Policy/Notification in vogue then, *prima facie*, the petitioner would not be entitled to any further amount, particularly under the Notification of the State which has been came into force subsequently.

Upon consideration, it appears that the petitioner has realized that he may not be entitled to any relief and therefore appears to be not interested to pursue this writ petition.

Accordingly, writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**