

GAHC010201882016



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Cont.Cas(C)/57/2016

J.K.B. ENTERPRISE
FORMARLY A PARTNERSHIP FIRM, PRESENTLY A PROPRIETORIAL
CONCERN, HAVING ITS OFFICE AT A.T. ROAD, DIBRUGARH, REP. BY ITS
PROPRIETOR SRI KUSH KUMAR YADAV, S/O LT. JOYGOBIND YADAV, R/O
KHALIHAMARI, DIBRUGARH, ASSAM

VERSUS

JYOTY NARAIN SHARMA and ORS
SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, PWD DEPTT., DISPUR, GUWAHATI

2:K C HAZARIKA
CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD ROADS
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI

3:RAJ KAMAL DUTTA
ADDL. CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD ROADS
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI

4:KAMLESWAR DEKA
THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD DIBRUGARH ROADS DIVISION
DIBRUGARH

5:SRI AJIT KAKOTI
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
PWD DEPARTMENT
DISPUR

ASSAM.

6:SHRI DIBYAJYOTI SAHARIA
THE CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD
ROADS CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI
ASSAM.

7:SHRI SANJIV SHYAM
CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (ROADS)
ASSAM
CHANDMARI
GUWAHATI
ASSAM-781003

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR.A CHETIA, MD.ASLAM,MR.D SENAPATI,MR.G N SAHEWALLA,MRS.S S BAWARI

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. T C CHUTIA (R6), SC, PWD,MR D NATH,MR D K ROY (R6),MS. N DAS (R6),MS. I KAKATI (R6)

BEFORE
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY
ORDER

07.03.2026

1. Referring to this court's order dated 17.02.2026, more particularly paragraph No. 5, Mr. D Nath, learned standing counsel for the PWD submits that while sending the demand proposal, certain defect was found in the Format-I; Column No. 7 and for such reason, money could not be released to the petitioner.

2. However, the Chief Engineer, PWD (Roads) by its communication dated 06.03.2026 asked the concerned Executive Engineer to submit a fresh demand proposal for the financial year 2026-27 with correct Format-I & Format-II for onward necessary process.

3. Accordingly, Mr. Nath prays for an adjournment.
4. Prayer stands allowed.
5. A copy of communication dated 06.03.2026 is kept on record.
6. While parting with this record, it is provided that the concerned Executive Engineer shall be more careful while sending such proposals otherwise, for such delay, this court may consider imposition of interest to be deducted from the salary of such erring officer.
7. List this matter again on 05.05.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant