

GAHC010201062024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5060/2024

RUMI GOSWAMI
W/O- PRANAB KUMAR GOSWAMI, R/O- KALIPUR, RIVER SIDE, P.O.
BHARALUMUKH, P.S. BHARALUMUKH, GUWAHATI-09, DIST.- KAMRUP
(M), ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, CULTURAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI-6

2:THE JOINT SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
CULTURAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06

3:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVT. OF ASSAM
PENSION AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06

4:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-06

5:THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A AND E)
ASSAM
MAIDAMGAON
BELTOLA
GUWAHATI-29

6:THE DIRECTOR (I/C)
DIRECTORATE OF LIBRARY SERVICES
ASSAM
GUWAHATI-0

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S C DAS, P BURAGOHAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, AG (A AND E),SC, FINANCE

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE N. UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR**

ORDER

Date : 23.02.2026

Mr. A. Chakravorty, learned counsel, appearing for the respondents, submits that complete instructions in the matter has not been received.

2. This Court vide an order dated 15.10.2025 had recorded the submission of Mr. J. K. Goswami, learned Addl. Senior Government Advocate as follows ;

“Mr. J. K. Goswami, learned Addl. Senior Government Advocate for the respondent Nos.1, 2, 3 and 6 submits that he has already prepared the affidavit-in-opposition and sent the same for vetting, and as such, he prays for listing the matter after one week.”

3. A perusal of the said submission would reveal that the affidavit was prepared and sent for vetting, however, today the submission made by Mr. A. Chakravorty, is that complete instructions has not been received. Contradictory submissions is found to have been made by two different State counsels on the issue on two different dates of listing of the matter.

4. Mr. A. Chakravorty, submits that the affidavit so prepared was not adequate to respond to the contentions made by the petitioner in the writ petition and accordingly a revised affidavit is required to be prepared for which instructions has been sought for.

5. This matter was last listed on 15.10.2025. It is found that around more than 3 (three) months have lapsed, however the

respondents have not brought on record their stand by way of filing an affidavit.

6. This Court, on a prayer made by Mr. A. Chakravorty, grants further 2 (two) weeks time to file the said affidavit in the matter. In the event, the said affidavit is not so filed on or before 16.03.2026 dealing with all the contentions raised by the petitioner in the writ petition, this Court would consider imposition of cost upon the respondent authorities.

Registry to list this matter again on **16.03.2026**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant