

GAHC010200412025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5227/2025

AMIT KUMAR SINHA
S/O- SRI AMAL KUMAR SINHA, R/O- LAKSHMI NARAYAN APARTMENT,
FLAT-4C, 4TH FLOOR, VIVEKANANDA ROAD, SILCHAR, DIST. CACHAR,
ASSAM, PIN- 788007.

VERSUS

STATE BANK OF INDIA AND 4 ORS
HEADQUARTERED AT CORPORATE CENTRE, STATE BANK BHAVAN,
MADAME CAMA ROAD, MUMBAI-400021 AND HAVING ITS LOCAL HEAD
OFFICE FOR NORTH EAST CIRCLE AT G.S. ROAD, DISPUR, GHY-6.

2:APPOINTING AUTHORITY AND GENERAL MANAGER NETWORK-II

SBI LOCAL HEAD OFFICE
NORTH EAST CIRCLE
G.S. ROAD
DISPUR
GHY-6.

3:REGIONAL MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
RBO SILCHAR
PARK ROAD
SILCHAR
PIN- 788001.

4:GENERAL MANAGER AND DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY
DISCIPLINE MANAGEMENT HUB (EAST)
STATE BANK OF INDIA
LHO
KOLKATA

PIN- 700001.

5:BISWAJIT DEWAN
CHIEF MANAGER- INVESTIGATION
BC AND DM DEPT.
STATE BANK OF INDIA
LHO (GUWAHATI)
5TH FLOOR
BLOCK-A
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781036

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S P SHARMA, MR S J SAIKIA,MS U SHARMA,K AHMED,S DAS

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI

ORDER

Date : 06.11.2025

Heard Mr. S.P. Sharma, learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner. Also heard Mr. H. Buragohain, learned Standing Counsel, SBI.

The issue involved in this writ petition is non-renewing the suspension order in terms of the decision of the Apex Court in the case of ***Ajay Kumar Choudhury v. Union of India & Ors.***, reported in ***(2015) 7 SCC 291***.

Mr. H. Buragohain submits that under the relevant rules of the SBI, there is no provision of review as laid down in the decision of the Apex Court; however, under Rule 68 (A)(8)(i) of the State Bank of India Officers' Service Rules, 1992, after conclusion of the enquiry, in the event it is found that the delinquent officer has been unfairly kept

in suspension, he shall be granted to full pay.

It appears that no return has been filed till date on behalf of the respondent.

Let the returns be filed before the next date of listing.

It is needless to clarify that failing to file the affidavit in reply; the matter shall be dealt with in accordance with law.

Re-list the matter on 26.11.2025.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant