

GAHC010199832024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Crl.Rev.P./381/2024

SANTANU DAS
S/O LATE PUTUL CH. DAS
R/O VILL- NAHATI
WARD NO. 8, PO., P.S. AND DIST. BARPETA, ASSAM, PIN-781301, MOBILE
NO.7002579280

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR
REPRESENTED BY THE PP, ASSAM

2:SAURAV OJAH
S/O LATE UPENDRA NATH OJAH
R/O METUAKUCHI
SANTINAGAR
BARPETA
P.O. SANTINAGAR
P.S. AND DIST. BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN-78130

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. P J SAIKIA, SR. ADV, S ISLAM,MR J ISLAM

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM, MR. SARFRAZ NAWAZ (R-2),MR. SURAJIT DAS (R-2)

Linked Case : I.A.(Crl.)/914/2024

SANTANU DAS
S/O LATE PUTUL CH. DAS R/O VILL- NAHATI WARD NO. 8
PO.
P.S. AND DIST. BARPETA

ASSAM
PIN-781301
MOBILE NO.7002579280

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR
REPRESENTED BY THE PP
ASSAM

2:SAURAV OJAH
S/O LATE UPENDRA NATH OJAH R/O METUAKUCHI
SANTINAGAR
BARPETA
P.O. SANTINAGAR
P.S. AND DIST. BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN-781301

Advocate for : MR. P J SAIKIA
SR. ADV

Advocate for : PP
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ANR

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

Date : 21.01.2026

- 1.** Heard Mr. J. Islam, the learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. S. Nawaz, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 2.
- 2.** The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since a detailed hearing might be required in this case, hence, he submits that this case may be admitted and the matter may be fixed for final hearing.
- 3.** He also submits that since the learned senior counsel for the petitioner Mr. P. J. Saikia, has some personal difficulties he is not in a position to conduct the hearing.

4. On the other hand, Mr. S. Nawaz, the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 submits that he has serious objection to the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.
5. He submits that that this case is deserved to be dismissed at the admission stage itself and it does not deserve to be admitted. He therefore, objects to the admission of this case.
6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it appears that the question as to whether this case is required to be admitted for hearing is itself a challenge by the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 and therefore, it is to be heard on that question itself.
7. However, since, the learned counsel for the petitioner has sought for an adjournment on the ground of personal difficulty of the conducting senior counsel for the petitioner.
8. The prayer for adjournment is allowed.
9. Let this matter be listed on 24.02.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant