

GAHC010202322021



2026:GAU-AS:763

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6474/2021

PHIRUSH DAIMARI
S/O LT. AJANTI DAIMARY
R/O VILL. CHAMTABARI
P.O. SASTRAPARA
P.S. AND DIST. UDALGURI
BTC (BTR)
ASSAM
PIN 784509

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM
FINANCE DEPTT.
ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI 781006

2:THE CHIEF SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM

DISPUR
GUWAHATI 781006

3:ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECY. REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT
DEPTT

DISPUR
GUWAHATI 6

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

UDALGURI

B.T.C. (BTR) ASSAM
PIN 784509

5:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

DARRANG
MANALDOI
PIN 784125

6:THE BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL

REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECY.
KOKRAJHAR
BTAD (BTAR)
ASSAM
PIN 783370

Advocate for : MR. R M CHOUDHURY
Advocate for : GA
ASSAM appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 22.01.2026

None appears for the petitioner on call.

Ms. M. Barman, learned State Counsel; Mr. N. R. Sarma, learned Standing Counsel, BTC; and Ms. N. Bordoloi, learned Standing Counsel, Revenue Department, are present.

The claim of the petitioner is for payment of enhanced *ex gratia* amount of Rs. 5,00,000/- (Rupees five lakhs) only pursuant to the Notifications dated 15.11.2014 & 06.12.2014 on account of the death of his mother, who reportedly

was killed by the Bodo Extremists on 22.12.1993 at Udalguri weekly market.

The petitioner, being the next of kin of the deceased, has been granted *ex gratia* of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rupees one lakh) only and Rs. 2,00,000/- (Rupees two lakhs) only, making a total amount of Rs. 3,00,000/- (Rupees three lakhs) only under the Notification/Policy existed during the relevant period. Since the petitioner has already been paid *ex gratia* amount of Rs. 3,00,000/- under the Policy/Notification in vogue then, *prima facie*, the petitioner would not be entitled to any further amount, particularly under the Notification of the State which has been came into force subsequently.

Upon consideration, it appears that the petitioner has realized that he may not be entitled to any relief and therefore appears to be not interested to pursue this writ petition.

Accordingly, writ petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant