

GAHC010198302025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2151/2025

SARIM UDDIN LASKAR
SON OF MD. ABDUL KHALEK LASKAR
VILL- BAKULGURI PADUMONI, P.S. KAMPUR, DIST. NAGAON, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY THE LEARNED PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S A BARBHUYAN, MR. D K AGARWALA, MR. B HUSSAIN

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

Date : 03.09.2025

1. Heard Mr. D. K. Agarwala, the learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. K. K. Parasar, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State respondent.

2. This application under section 482 of BNSS has been filed by the petitioner, namely, Sarim Uddin Laskar, who is apprehending his

arrest, in connection with Rangia P.S. Case No. 944/2020, under Section 120(B)/420/379 of Indian Penal Code, read with Section 66(C)/66(D) of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

3. The gist of the accusation in this case is that, when the FIR was lodged on 09.10.2020, one Paresh Chandra Deka had lodged an FIR before the Officer-in-Charge of Rangia Police Station, *inter-alia*, alleging that someone has fraudulently withdrawn an amount of Rs. 9,13,506/- from the account of the informant.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the FIR was filed way back in the year 2020 and the petitioner has not been named in the FIR.

5. He further submits that the petitioner has received a notice under Section 41(C) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 asking him to appear before the CID Police Station on 04.09.2025.

6. He submits that the petitioner is in no way involved in the offence alleged in the FIR. However, in connection with this case, his brother Taymus Laskar was arrested in the year 2020 and later on he was granted bail by the Court in the year 2021.

7. He further submits that four other accused persons were also arrested in connection with this case and all were granted bail in the year 2020.

8. He further submits that as the non-bailable offences are involved in this case, he apprehends that if he appears before the Investigating Officer without any protection, he may be arrested. Therefore, he prays for allowing the petitioner to go on anticipatory bail.

9. On the other hand, the Additional Public Prosecutor prays for

calling of the case diary.

10. The prayer is allowed.

11. Let this matter be listed **on 22.09.2025.**

12. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer of the case in pursuant to be notice received by him tomorrow at the given time in the notice, i.e., at 11.00 am on 04.09.2025 and cooperate in the investigation. If he does so, in the event of his arrest in connection with the aforesaid case, before arrival of the case diary, the petitioner shall be allowed to go on interim bail of Rs. 30,000/- (Rs. Thirty Thousand only) with one surety of like amount subject to the satisfaction of the arresting authority with the following conditions:-

- i.** The petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation,
- ii.** The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to the informant or to other person who may be acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade such person from disclosing such facts before the Investigating Officer or to any Court.

13. Let this matter be listed **on 22.09.2025.**

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant