

GAHC010198272025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2130/2025

NUMAN UDDIN
S/O LATE HAFIZ AYUB AHMED
R/O NAYAPATON
P.S. BADARPUR
DIST. SRIBHUMI, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
TO BE REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR H R A CHOUDHURY, J M SULAIMAN, MR. I U CHOWDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM, MS. K VERMA (INFORMANT)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA

ORDER

Date : 14.10.2025

Heard Mr. HRA. Choudhury, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. J.M. Suleman, learned counsel for the accused applicant.

Mr. H.R.A. Choudhury, learned senior counsel submits that vide the order dated 02.09.2025,

this Court has allowed the accused applicant to go on interim pre-arrest bail with a direction to appear before the I/O within a period of 7 days from the date of the aforesaid order dated 02.09.2025.

However, due to certain unavoidable circumstances, the accused applicant apprehended that he could not be able to be present before the I/O within seven days and in view of that, he preferred an Interlocutory Application before this Court on 08.09.2025 praying for extension of time for his appearance before the I/O.

The aforesaid Interlocutory Application which was registered as I.A.(Crl.)/ 1026/2025 was heard and disposed of on 16.09.2025 by this Court whereby, 15 more days was granted to the accused applicant to appear before the Investigating Authority of Badarpur Police Station 149/2025.

The learned senior counsel further submits that the accused applicant accordingly went to appear before the Investigating Authority on 27.09.2025 for recording of his statement. However, due to the Puja celebration his statement was not recorded by the police and he was asked to appear before the Police on a later date. Accordingly, the accused applicant went to appear before the Investigating Authority on 05.10.2025, whereon, as submitted by the learned senior counsel, his statement was recorded and he was allowed to go on bail.

On the other hand, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State submits that though he has received case diary, but the same is not an updated one and the subsequent events as submitted by the learned senior counsel are not reflected in the case diary, therefore, he prays that the updated case diary be called for.

In view of the above submissions of the learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the opinion that it would be proper to call for the updated case diary for perusal of the Court.

Accordingly, call for the updated case diary.

Let this matter be listed on 11.11.2025.

Interim order passed earlier shall continue til the next date of listing.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant