

GAHC010198272025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2130/2025

NUMAN UDDIN
S/O LATE HAFIZ AYUB AHMED
R/O NAYAPATON
P.S. BADARPUR
DIST. SRIBHUMI, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
TO BE REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR H R A CHOUDHURY, J M SULAIMAN, MR. I U CHOWDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM, MS. K VERMA (INFORMANT)

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : 24-04-2026

Heard Ms. S. Nas, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. K.K. Parasar, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor appearing in the matter.

This is a bail application under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 praying for pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in connection with Badarpur PS Case No. 149/2025 u/s 308(2)/304(2)/319(2)/318(4)/329(3)/132/351(2) of the BNS.

The petitioner had been granted the privilege of an interim pre-arrest bail on 02.09.2025 by the order dated 14.10.2025. Although the CD had been received, it was recorded that the updated CD is required. The interim was allowed to continue. The matter was listed on 17.11.2025, 27.11.2025, 15.12.2025, 07.01.2026, 22.01.2026, 20.02.2026 and 18.03.2026 and again today i.e., 24.04.2026.

The updated CD has not been received.

In such circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that instead of keeping the anticipatory bail petition pending, the privilege granted to the petitioner by way of interim may be made absolute in the absence of the CD being produced by the I.O. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner does not appear to be necessary, since the CD has not been produced at all.

Accordingly, the anticipatory bail petition is disposed of, making the interim order absolute.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant