

GAHC010236032023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6262/2022

MD. AHEDUL HUSSAIN
S/O- LATE ABDUL SAMAD

R/O- VILL. RANGIA WARD NO. 2
(KURIARA PATH)

P.O. AND P.S. RANGIA

DISTRICT- KAMRUP(R)
ASSAM.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY

EDUCATION (SECONDARY) DEPARTMENT/ DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL
EDUCATION
ASSAM

DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI- 781019.

3:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
NALBARI DISTRICT CIRCLE
NALBARI
ASSAM.

4:MD. ABDUL KADIR
S/O- ISAHAQUE ALI

R/O- VILL. GORGORI

P.O.- TINIPUKHURI

DISTRICT- NALBARI

ASSAM
PIN- 781367.

5:THE HEADMASTER
PASCHIM BANBHAG ANCHALIK HIGH SCHOOL
VILL.- NARAYANGAON

P.O.- TINIPUKHURI

DISTRICT- NALBARI

ASSAM
PIN- 781367.

6:JAYANTA THAKURIA
AES
INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
NALBARI DISTRICT CIRCLE

NALBARI
ASSAM

PIN- 781335.

Advocate for : MS. A TALUKDAR

Advocate for : SC

SEC. EDU. appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS

**BEFORE
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDL.) MR. CHINMOY BARUAH**

ORDER

Date : 07.04.2026

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Perused the records.

A perusal of the recent office note dated 06.04.2026 reveals that the notice was issued to the respondent No. 6 by way of registered post with A/D as well as by way of usual process on 16.03.2023.

Now, in respect of the above mentioned notices issued to the respondent No. 6, it has been observed as follows:–

(i) In respect of the notice issued through registered post with A/D, it appears that neither the A/D card nor any un-served notice has been received by the registry till date. Further, the concerned section has stated that the postal tracking details regarding the said notice are not available at the Indian Post Website.

*(ii) However, it appears that the process server's report regarding the notice issued through usual process has been received and the same has been placed at **Flag-'SR' in the Part-II file**. Now, a perusal of the said service report reveals that the notice was received by an employee of respondent No. 6, who acknowledged receipt by affixing his/her signature and the official seal of respondent No. 6 on the return copy of the notice.*

In view of the observations made above, it may be discerned that the notice issued through usual process has been duly served upon the respondent No. 6. Therefore, it may be said that **service in respect of the respondent No. 6 has been completed.**

Pursuant to the above, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Joint Registrar (Judicial)

Comparing Assistant