

GAHC010190522026



2026:GAU-AS:13469

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/3156/2026

SRI ANUJ KUMAR BISHAYA AND ANR
SON OF LATE KSHIRUDA KANTA BAISHYA RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO 80
SRS ROAD UZANBAZAR GUWAHATI PS LATASIL PO UZANBAZAR
DISTRICT KAMRUP METRO ASSAM

2: SRI WAZUDDIN AHMED
SON OF LATE SIRAJUDDIN AGMED RESIDENT OF HOUSE NO 40 B C ROAD
UZANBAZAR GUWAHATI PS LATASIL PO UZANBAZAR DISTRICT KAMRUP
METRO ASSA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT
OF ASSAM EXCISE DEPARTMENT GUWAHATI 781006

2:THE COMMISSIONER OF EXCISE
ASSAM HOUSE FED COMPLEX DISPUR GUWAHATI 781006

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
KAMRUP METRO ASSAM

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF EXCISE
DEPARTMENT KAMRUP METRO ASSAM

5:THE GUWAHATI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
UZANBAZAR OFFICE GUWAHATI 781001

6:SMT SAYESTA ZELILA AHMED
WIFE OF TOWFIQUR RAHMAN LICENSED OWNED OF ROAD SIDE WINE
SHOP SITUATED AT WARD NO 32 BHUBAN ROAD UZANBAZAR PS
LATASIL PO UZANBAZAR DISTRICT KAMRUP METRO 781001 ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. D J DAS,

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, EXCISE DEPTT., MR. S JAIN,S BORA

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KAUSHIK GOSWAMI**

ORDER

Date : 14.09.2026

Heard Mr. D. J. Das, learned counsel appearing for the applicant.
Also heard Mr. R. R. Gogoi, learned counsel appearing for the respondent
Nos. 1, 2 & 4.

By way of this application, the applicant seeks striking off the name of respondent No. 6, namely Sri. Tafique Rahman, stated to be the licensed owner of the "Road Side Wine Shop" situated at Ward No. 32, Bhuban Road, Uzanbazar, P.S. Latashil, P.O. Uzanbazar, District- Kamrup (M), Pin- 781001, from the array of respondents in connected WP(C) No. 1863/2026 and, in his place, seeks impleadment of Smti. Sayesta Zelila Ahmed, wife of Sri. Tafique Rahman, as respondent No. 6.

It appears that, while filing the connected writ petition, Sri. Tafique Rahman, was inadvertently arrayed as respondent No. 6 as the licensed owner of the aforesaid wine shop, whereas the licensed owner of the said wine shop is Smti. Sayesta Zelila Ahmed. Accordingly, the present application has been filed.

Mr. R. R. Gogoi, learned counsel, submits that he has received no instructions to oppose the prayer made in this application.

Having heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties and upon perusal of the materials available on record, it appears that Sri. Tafique Rahman, who is the husband of Smti. Sayesta Zelila Ahmed, has

been wrongly impleaded as respondent No. 6 in the connected writ petition, whereas, Smti. Sayesta Zelila Ahmed, is the licensed owner of the wine shop in question.

Having regard to the fact and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that the impleadment of Smti. Sayesta Zelila Ahmed in place of Sri. Tafique Rahman is necessary for the effective adjudication of the connected writ petition.

Accordingly, the prayer made in this application stands allowed.

The name of Sri. Tafique Rahman shall stand deleted from the array of respondents in WP(C) No. 1863/2026 and Smti. Sayesta Zelila Ahmed, shall stand impleaded as respondent No. 6 therein.

Let an amended cause-title be submitted before the Registry for doing the needful.

The interlocutory application accordingly stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant