

GAHC010189622020



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5692/2020

PRABHAT KUMAR AGRAHARI AND 2 ORS.
S/O. SRI SHYAM LAL AGARHARI, R/O. WARD NO.6, HOUSE NO.349, G.T.
ROAD, INDIRA NAGAR, CHANDAULI, UTTAR PRADESH, PIN-232104.

2: MONU DOLEY
S/O. SRI AJIT DOLEY
R/O. DHEMAJI TANGANAPARA
WARD NO.3
DHEMAJI
PIN-787057.

3: DHURWE ABHIJEET ABHIMANYU
S/O. SRI DHURWE ABHIMANYU KONDBAJI R/O. 37
CHANDORE NAGAR
DHAMANGAON ROAD
YAVATMAL-445001
MAHARASHTRA

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMM. AND SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM, HEALTH AND
FAMILY WELFARE DEPTT. (B), DISPUR, GUWAHATI-781006.

2: THE COMMISSIONER AND SECY.
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPTT. (B)
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-781006.

3: THE DIRECTOR OF MEDICAL EDUCATION SIXMILE
KHANAPARA GUWAHATI-781022.

4:OFFICE OF THE MISSION DIRECTOR

THROUGH THE MISSION DIRECTOR
NATIONAL HEALTH MISSION ASSAM
SAIKIA COMMERCIAL COMPLEX
G.S. ROAD CHRISTIANBASTI
GUWAHATI-781005.

5:THE DEAN/PRINCIPAL

SILCHAR MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
GHUNGOOR
ASSAM-788014.

6:SRIMANTA SANKARDEVA UNIVERSITY OF HEALTH SCIENCES

THROUGH THE REGISTRAR
BHANGAGARH
GUWAHATI-781006

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S BHATTACHARJEE

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, NHM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ACHINTYA MALLA BUJOR BARUA

ORDER

Date : 21/12/2020

Heard Mr. S. Bhattacharjee, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. A. Chaliha, learned counsel for the respondent including the respondent Srimanta Sankardeva University of Health Sciences.

Although the prayer of the petitioner is for release of their various certificates pursuant to the courses they have undertaken under the university concerned, but Mr. A. Chaliha, learned counsel representing the university states that on occasions the certificates cannot be issued to the candidates as because they may not have complied with all the required rules and regulation of the university.

Accordingly, the respondents shall file their affidavit before the next date fixed specifying the reason as to why the certificate of the petitioner has not been released. In the event, the affidavit is not filed, it would be construed that the averment made in the petition is accepted.

List after four weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant