

GAHC010188772025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4965/2025

SOHIDUL ISLAM
S/O- MONSHAHID ALI, R/O- HAJO, NO. 2 SOLMARI, P.S. HAJO, DIST.
KAMRUP, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY, HOME
DEPARTMENT, DISPUR, ASSAM

2:ZONAL MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
ZONAL OFFICE BHANGAGARH
GUWAHATI-781006.

3:THE BRANCH MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA SUALKUCHI BRANCH
SUALKUCHI
DIST. KAMRUP
ASSAM

4:THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
CYBER CRIME WING
CYBER CRIME POLICE STATION
OFFICE OF THE AVADI POLICE COMMISSIONER OFFICE
AVADI
POLICE COMMISSIONERATE AVADI
CEHNNAI-600054.

5:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
STATION HOUSE OFFICER
1-43

SANGVI
DILWARPUR-504306
TELENGANA NIRMAL DILWARPUR POLICE STATION
TELENGANA
INDIA.

6:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
PUDUCHERRY POLICE STATION
CYBER CRIME CELL
PUDUCHERRY
GORIMEDU PUDUCHERRY
PIN- 605006

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR S M ABDULLAH P, MS F HUSSAIN,MD R ISLAM,MD IMRAN

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, MR U SARMA(R-3),MR. N BARUA (R-3)

BEFORE
DEPUTY REGISTRAR (BENCH) - MR. SAPTARSHI DAS

ORDER

Date : 01.11.2025

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Perused the records.

Perusal of the recent office note dated 30.10.2025 reveals that notice was issued to the respondent Nos. 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6 by way of registered post with A/D mode on 09.09.2025.

Meanwhile, in respect of the respondent No. 3, it appears that the learned counsels have already entered appearance on behalf of the said respondent by filing Vakalatnama. As such, it may be said that **service in respect of the respondent No. 3 has been completed.**

Further, in respect of the notice issued to the remaining respondents, *i.e., respondent Nos. 2, 4, 5 & 6*, this Lawazima Court has observed as follows:—

Respondent No. 2:— In respect of the notice issued to the said respondent, it appears that neither the A/D nor any un-served notice has been received by the concerned section as

of yet. However, the postal track consignment report available with the case record reveals that notice has been delivered on 15.09.2025. As such, it may be said that **service in respect of the respondent No. 2 has been completed.**

Respondent Nos. 4, 5 & 6:– In respect of the notice issued to the said respondents, it appears that the A/D cards have been received and the same have been tagged with the case record at **Flag-'AD' in Part-III file.** Now, a perusal of the said A/D card reveals the following:–

(i) The A/D cards of the respondent Nos. 4 & 5 bear the purported signatures and the official seals of the respective respondents.

(ii) The A/D card of the respondent No. 6 bears the purported signature of the recipient.

In view of the observations made above, it may be said that **service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 4, 5 & 6 has been completed.**

Accordingly, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court.

Deputy Registrar (Bench)

Comparing Assistant