

GAHC010188572024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : MACApp./507/2024

SULEMAN SHEIKH
S/O. RAHUL AMIN SHEIKH, VILL.- WARD NO. 2 DHEKIAJULI, P/O. AND P/S.
DHEKIAJULI, DIST. SONITPUR, ASSAM.

VERSUS

MAMTA GUPTA AND 2 ORS
S/O. RAM BHAWAR GUPTA. VILL. NO. 6 DHEKIAJULI,P/O. AND P/S.
DHEKIAJULI, DIST. SONITPUR, ASSAM.

2:SRI. HARDWAR SAH
S/O. LAL BABU SAH
VILL. WARD NO. 3 DHEKIAJULI
P/O AND P/S. DHEKIAJULI
DIST. SONITPUR
ASSAM

3:CHOLAMANDALAM MS GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTD.
4TH FLOOR
AASTHA PLAZA
S.B. DEORAH COLLEGE
ULUBARI
G.S. ROAD
OPPOSITE S.D
ULUBARI
GUWAHATI
ASSAM
PIN-781007

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. K BHATTACHARJEE, S KHAN,MS T BARUAH,MS. A
BARMAN,ABBASH U AHMED

Advocate for the Respondent : MR. R GOSWAMI (R-3), MS. P BORTHAKUR(R-3),MS. M SAIKIA(R-3)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

ORDER

Date : 16.02.2026

Heard Mr. A.U. Ahmed, learned counsel for the appellant. Also heard Ms. P. Borthakur, learned counsel for the respondent No.3.

On perusal of the Office Note, it appears that notice issued to the respondent No.1 has been duly served and notice issued to the respondent No.2 would not be served as the addressee has expired.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that in view of the expiry of respondent No.2, the appellant may have to take appropriate steps for substituting the legal heirs of the respondent No.2. Hence, he seeks some time for the same.

Prayer is allowed.

Let the matter be listed on 11.03.2026.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant