

GAHC010187502025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/2006/2025

DR NINGOMBAM MOHENDRO SINGH
S/O LATE NINGOMBAM PHEIRABA SINGH HAOREIBI MAYAI LEIKAI,
HAOREIBI, WANGOI, IMPHAL WEST, P.O. AND P.S. WANGOI SUB DIVISION,
MANIPUR-795009.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. R SEKHAR, A KASHYAP, M G SINGH

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

29.08.2025

Heard Mr. M.G. Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. R.J. Baruah, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State respondent.

2. By this application, the petitioner has prayed for pre-arrest bail in connection with Lakhipur Police Station Case No. 97/2025 registered under

Section 69/304(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The FIR dated 01.08.2025 lodged by the victim reveals that the petitioner on 27.02.2024 at around 11:30 am had raped the victim after locking the room when the victim went inside the same and that the petitioner had promised that he would marry her and that thereafter, he has allegedly raped her many times against her consent. The victim also stated that subsequently in February, 2025, the petitioner again tried to sexually molest her, however, she escaped but got injured in the process. She also stated that the petitioner had taken away her scooty forcibly.

4. Mr. M.G. Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the 3 (three) allegations made against the petitioner are not maintainable, inasmuch as, with regard to the first incident, the petitioner was in the office and the victim stated that the incident took place in his quarter and that as far as the second incident is concerned, he submits that the petitioner was on leave and as far as the third incident is concerned, he submits that the scooty was purchased by him from the victim by paying the necessary amounts as he has annexed the receipts in his petition.

5. Mr. R.J. Baruah, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State respondent vehemently objects to the bail and submits that there appears allegations against the petitioner that he had raped the victim many times and that the Case Diary may be called for.

6. By considering the submissions of the learned counsels for the parties at the bar and by looking into the records more specifically that the first incident had taken place in the year 2024 and the second incident during February, 2025 and that the FIR was lodged after such a belated time, this Court deems it fit

that the petitioner may be released on interim pre-arrest bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 20,000/- with 1 local surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority under the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner will not temper with the evidence or influence any other witnesses.
2. The petitioner will co-operate with the investigation and will appear before the Investigating Officer within 15 (fifteen) days from today.
- 7.** Call for the Case Diary.
- 8.** List the matter on 25.09.2025.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant