

GAHC010182062025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : AB/1981/2025

LOCHAN BORA ALIAS LOCHAN BORAH
SON OF KHAGEN BORAH
R/O VILL- BAHBARI, P.O. BAHBARI, P.S. TEZPUR, DIST. SONITPUR, ASSAM,
PIN-784502

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM
REP. BY THE PP, ASSAM

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M BORAH, MR A TALUKDAR, MS B BORA

Advocate for the Respondent : PP, ASSAM,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

Date : --19.08.2025

Heard Mr. M. Borah, the learned counsel for the petitioner and also heard Mr. P. Borthakur, the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of State respondent.

2. This is an application u/s 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita

(BNSS), 2023 for granting pre-arrest bail to the accused petitioner in connection with Tezpur P.S. Case No. 540/2025 u/s 308(2)/308(5)/351(2)/3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023.

3. It is submitted by Mr. Borthakur that he will take proper instruction as to the section under which the case is registered.

4. Considering the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, I find it a fit case to grant the privilege of interim pre-arrest bail to the accused/petitioner, till receipt of the case diary.

5. Accordingly, it is provided that in the event of arrest of the accused/petitioner, namely, Lochan Bora @ Lochan Borah, in connection with Tezpur P.S. Case No. 540/2025 u/s 308(2)/308(5)/351(2)/3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, he shall be enlarged on interim pre-arrest bail on his executing a bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) only with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of the arresting authority, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by the Investigating Officer within 7(seven) days;
- (ii) that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the investigation of the case and shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required in connection with the investigation of the aforesaid P.S. case; and
- (iii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the

facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

- 6.** In the meantime, call for the Case Diary.
- 7.** List the matter again on **03.09.2025.**

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant