

GAHC010180842022



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/6023/2022

SMT. SUPRIYA NATH
W/O LATE CHITTARANJAN NATH @ CHITTA RANJAN NATH,
R/O SURAT NAGAR,
P.S.- HAFLONG,
DIST.- DIMA HASAO, ASSAM, PIN- 788830.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND VETERINARY OFFICER, ASSAM, DISPUR,
GYWAHATI- 06.

2:THE DIMA HASAO AUTONOMOUS COUNCIL
HAFLONG
DIMA HASAO DISTRICT.

3:THE DIRECTOR
ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND VETERINARY DEPARTMENT
ASSAM.

4:THE ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR
ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND VETERINARY DEPARTMENT
N.C. HILLS (PRESENTLY DIMA HASAO DISTRICT) HAFLONG
ASSAM.

5:THE DISTRICT ANIMAL HUSBANDRY AND VETERINARY OFFICER
N.C. HILLS (PRESENTLY DIMA HASAO DISTRICT) HAFLONG
ASSAM.

6:THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL (A AND E)
ASSAM

MAIDAMGAON
BELTOLA
GUWAHATI- 29.

7:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECREATARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM
FINANACE DEPARTMENT
ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 06.

8:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM
PENSION AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 06

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. N SARKAR, MR N M DEKA,MR P K BASU

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, MS. M KOTOKI, SC ANIMAL HUSBANDRY &
VETERINARY DEPTT.,SC, FINANCE,SC, N C HILLS,SC, DIMA HASAO,SC, AG

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANJAN MONI KALITA
ORDER

07/08/2025

Heard Mr. H Deka, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. N Sarkar, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. M M Katakya, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3, Mr. C Sarma, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 & 5, Mr. R K Talukdar, learned Standing counsel, Accountant General, Assam for the respondent No. 6, Ms. R M Barooah, learned counsel for the respondent No. 7 and Mr. J K Goswami, learned Additional Senior Government Advocate, Assam for the respondent Nos. 8.

Mr. Sarma, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 4 & 5 submits that the matter relates to regularization of service as well as pensionary benefits and this matter may not be referred to the Mediation Center as it may not bring any fruitful result.

The learned counsel for the respondents wishes to file an affidavit-in-opposition in this matter.

Prayer is allowed.

Let the matter be listed after 2 (two) weeks.

In the meantime, the other respondents, if so advised, may file their affidavit-in-opposition in the matter.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant