

GAHC010180112025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4974/2025

SATYANARAYANPUR M E SCHOOL
DATE OF ESTABLISH 01-01-2000, REPRESENTED BY ITS HEAD MISTRESS
NAMELY, ANITA DEVI, C/O BED BAHADUR BASNET, VILLAGE NK
ANGARKATA, CHECHAPANI, TAMULPUR, BAKSA, BTR, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE MINISTRY OF EDUCATION,
GOVT. OF INDIA, NEW DELHI, 1.

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION
DISPUR
GHY-6.

3:THE DIRECTOR OF ELEMENTARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19.

4:THE STATE LEVEL REDRESSAL COMMITTEE
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN -CUM- MISSION DIRECTOR OF
SAMAGRA SIKHSHA ABHIJAN
SSA
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GHY 19

5:THE BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY

BTC KOKRAJHAR
ASSAM

6:THE DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION
BTC
KOKRAJHAR
ASSAM
PIN NO. 783370.

7:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
BAKSA
MUSHALPUR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN NO. 781372

8:THE DISTRICT MISSION COORDINATOR
CUM THE DISTRICT ELEMENTARY EDUCATION OFFICER
BAKSA
MUSALPUR
BTC
ASSAM
PIN NO. 781372

Advocate for the Petitioner : MD A ISLAM, MR. N ISLAM

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I., SC. BTC,SC, SSA,GA, ASSAM,SC, ELEM. EDU

**BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN**

ORDER

24.09.2025

Heard Mr. A. Islam, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. A. Phukan, learned standing counsel, Education (Elementary) Department, appearing for the respondent Nos.2 and 3, Mr. B. Choudhury, learned standing counsel, BTC, appearing for the respondent Nos.4, 5, 6 and 8 and Ms. K. Phukan, learned Government Advocate, appearing for the respondent No.7.

2. In this petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuing direction to the respondents to allot the Unified District Information System for Education (UDISE) Code in favour of the petitioner's school in terms of the recommendation made by the District Level Redressal Committee, Baksa and consequential order, dated 10.07.2024, issued by the District Commissioner, Baksa.

3. Mr. Islam, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that the petitioner's school was established w.e.f. 01.01.2000, in a remote area of Baksa district, after obtaining necessary permission as well as departmental recognition and the school was also verified by the District Level Redressal Committee, through the concerned Block Elementary Education Officer & Circle Officer, and on receipt of verification report, the District Level Redressal Committee, Baksa, has scrutinized all the relevant documents and on scrutiny, the school of the petitioner is found to be eligible for UDISE Code and recommended for allotment of UDISE Code.

4. Mr. Islam further submits that thereafter, the District Commissioner, Baksa, has issued an order dated 10.07.2024 recommending the school for allotment of UDISE Code for the year 2024-25 and forwarded the same to the Mission Director, SSA, Assam, but, till date no UDISE Code has been allotted to the petitioner's school. Mr. Islam further submits that the petitioner's school has already made online application for the UDISE Code, vide Annexure-10, but the said application has not been accepted by the respondent SSA.

5. In view of the above submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Choudhury, learned standing counsel for the respondent SSA was asked to obtain necessary instruction, as to why the online application of the petitioner's school was not accepted. Today, Mr. Choudhury, obtaining necessary instruction submits that the petitioner's school has applied for UDISE Code under the category 'Government Aided', but, the same ought to have been under the category 'Management', as the 'Government Aided' category has already been stopped by the authority and he further submits that in the event of of applying again by the petitioner's school through the District Mission Coordinator, SSA, Baksa, under the appropriate category, the respondent authority will

consider the same. Therefore, Mr. Choudhury has contended to issue direction to the petitioner's school to apply again for the UDISE Code.

6. On such assurance, being given by Mr. Choudhury, learned standing counsel for the respondent SSA, Mr. Islam, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner's school will again apply for UDISE Code through the District Mission Coordinator, SSA, Baksa, under the appropriate category.

7. Thus, taking note of the submission of learned counsel for both the parties and also considering the facts and circumstances on the record, this Court is inclined to dispose of this petition by directing the petitioner's school to apply for UDISE Code again through the District Mission Coordinator within a period of 2(two) weeks from today, under the proper category and in the event of such application being filed online, by the petitioner's school, the respondent Nos.4, 5, 6 and 8 shall consider the same in accordance with law.

8. The aforesaid exercise be carried out within a period of 3(three) weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. The petitioner's school shall obtain a certified copy of this order and place the same before the respondent authority within a period of one week from today.

9. In terms of above, this writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/- Robin Phukan
JUDGE

Comparing Assistant