

GAHC010179082015



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : RFA/56/2015

ASSAM STATE TRANSPORT CORPORATION and ANR
A BODY CORPORATE ESTABLISHED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE
ROAD, TRANSPORT CORPORATION ACT, 1950 PALTAN BAZAR,
GUWAHATI-8, DIST. KAMRUP, ASSAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING
DIRECTOR

2: THE MANAGING DIRECTOR

ASSAM STATE TRANSPORT CORPORATION PALTAN BAZAR
GUWAHATI-8
DIST. KAMRUP
ASSAM

VERSUS

M/S SRI RANI SATI AUTO STORES
CHAND MARKET, A.T. ROAD, GUWAHATI-1, DIST. KAMRUP, ASSAM A
PROPRIETORSHIP FIRM REPRESENTED BY ITS PROPRIETOR, MRS. SUNITA
DEVI AGARWAL.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MS.M DUTTA, MS.I GOHAIN,MR.M SARMA

Advocate for the Respondent : MRA SHARMA, MS.L SHARMA,MR.S S SHARMA

BEFORE

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

14.10.2025

- 1.** Heard Mr. Y.N. Mahanta, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. A. Chamuah, the learned counsel for the appellants, who seeks an adjournment on the ground that they have been engaged in this case newly and needs some time for reconstruction of brief.
- 2.** On the other hand, Mr. B.J. Mukherjee, has opposed the prayer for adjournment on the ground that on the last occasion also, the learned counsel for the appellants sought adjournment of almost on the same ground and said adjournment was allowed.
- 3.** I have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for both sides.
- 4.** It appears that on last occasions also, the appellants took adjournment on the same ground. However, considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the appellants as well as facts of this case, one more adjournment is granted to the appellants.
- 5.** Let this matter be listed after 2(two) weeks, on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant