

GAHC010174652023



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4679/2023

MAMPI DAS
W/O- SADACHARI DAS, VILL- CHANDRAPUR PART-I, P.O. CHANDRAPUR,
DIST.- HAILAKANDI, ASSAM, PIN- 788164

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 5 ORS
REPRESENTED BY ITS COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM, SOCIAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI- 781006

2:THE DIRECTOR
WOMEN AND CHILD DEPARTMENT
ASSAM
UZANBAZAR
GUWAHATI- 781001

3:THE DISTRICT SOCIAL WELFARE OFFICER
HAILAKANDI
P.O./P.S./DIST.- HAILAKANDI

4:THE CHILD DEVELOPMENT OFFICER (CDPO)
ICDS PROJECT
KALIBARI ROAD
HAILAKANDI
P.O./P.S./DIST- HAILAKANDI
PIN- 788150

5:THE GAON PANCHAYAT SECRETARY OF CHANDRAPUR GAON
PANCHAYAT
P.O.- CHANDRAPUR
DIST.- HAILAKANDI

ASSAM
PIN- 788164

6:SMTI. SWAPNA DAS
W/O- PRODIP DAS
WORD NO. 4 LALA
HOUSE NO. 610
P.O./P.S.- LALA
DIST.- HAILAKANDI
ASSAM
PIN- 78816

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. A H ALAMGIR,

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, M. HOSSAIN(R-5),MR. S ROY(R-5),MR. M H LASKAR(R-5),MR S DUTTA, SC ,P&RD, (R-5)

BEFORE
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDL.) MR. CHINMOY BARUAH

ORDER

Date : 29.10.2025

Case taken up before the Lawazima Court.

Learned counsel Mr. A.H. Alamgir appears on behalf of the petitioner. Heard.

Perusal of the instant case record reveals that the Hon'ble Court vide its order dated 29.08.2025 had directed the petitioner to take fresh steps for service of notice upon the respondent No. 6 by registered post with A/D and by way of usual process as well.

However, a perusal of the recent office note dated 28.10.2025 reveals that the petitioner has taken steps by way of registered post with A/D only, which was issued to the said respondent on 05.09.2025.

But, it appears that the aforementioned notice issued to the respondent No. 6 has been received un-served with the following postal remark:—

“Insufficient Add. RTS”.

Now, in regard to the respondent No. 6, **the learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. A.H. Alamgir has appeared before the Lawazima Court today and submitted that**

the pincode mentioned under the address of respondent No. 6, i.e., "Smti. Swapna Das" should have been "788163" instead of "788164".

In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Lawazima Court is of the view that the Cause Title of the instant petition, may perhaps, be necessary to be amended for reflecting the correct address of the respondent No. 6. As such, the instant matter is laid before the Hon'ble Court for necessary orders.

Joint Registrar (Judicial)

Comparing Assistant