

GAHC010172922024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4310/2024

MONUJ HASNU @ MANOJ HASNU
S/O- LATE DEKA RAM HASNU,
R/O- AMOLAPATTY, DIPHU, PIN-782460.
P.O AND P.S- DIPHU,
DIST- KARBI ANGLONG

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS
REP. BY THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM,
REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6.

2:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
KARBI ANGLONG AUTONOMOUS COUNCIL
DIPHU
PIN-782460
KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM

3:THE SECRETARY
TO THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT
KARBI ANGLONG AUTONOMOUS COUNCIL
DIPHU
PIN-782460
KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM

4:THE JOINT SECRETARY
TO THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT
BEING THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY FOR LAND ACQUISITION

KARBI ANGLONG AUTONOMOUS COUNCIL
DIPHU
PIN-782460
KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM

5:THE ASSISTANT REVENUE OFFICER
DIPHU REVENUE CIRCLE
KARBI ANGLONG AUTONOMOUS COUNCIL
DIPHU
PIN-782460
KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM

6:THE GENERAL MANAGER
NATIONAL HIGHWAYS AND INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT
CORPORATION LIMITED (NHIDCL)
DIPHU
PIN-782460
KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM

7:THE DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER
KARBI ANGLONG
EAST DIVISION
KARBI ANGLONG AUTONOMOUS COUNCIL
DIPHU
PIN-782460
KARBI ANGLONG
ASSAM

8:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
DIPHU
PIN-782460
KARBI ANGLONG
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M P CHOUDHURY, MR. S BATHARI

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, REVENUE, SC, NHIDC, SC, K A A C

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI**

ORDER

16.09.2025

Heard Shri M.P. Choudhury, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Shri J. Chutia, learned Standing Counsel, KAAC as well as Shri R.K. Talukdar, learned Standing Counsel, NHIDCL.

While the dispute appears to be one which is factual in nature, Shri Choudhury, the learned counsel has submitted that from the materials obtained by him including a map furnished to the petitioner by the NHIDCL, in the land acquired for the construction of the road in question, there is mention of land under Dag No. 415 which is the land in question.

This Court has however noted that in the map furnished by the KAAC in its affidavit filed on 22.04.2025, the same does not contain the aspect as to whether land under Dag No. 415 is involved. Shri Chutia, the learned Standing Counsel has however submitted that the map produced by the petitioner does not bear any seal or signature whereas the map enclosed in the affidavit of the Council is an authentic one.

Be that as it may, to resolve the dispute, let the original records containing the Map be produced. The records are required to include the Map approved by the Ministry.

Shri Talukdar, the learned Standing Counsel, NHIDCL, accordingly prays for and is granted 2 (two) weeks time to produce the said records.

List this matter immediately after the vacation.

As requested, list this matter on 28.10.2025.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant