

GAHC010172882025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : I.A.(Civil)/2558/2025

BAPOJITH LANGTHASA
RESIDENT OF HAFLONG, DIMA HASAO, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE DIRECTOR
ENFORCEMENT DIRECTORATE MAINAAK GREENS APATMENT G S ROAD
CHRISTIAN BASIT GUWAHATI ASSAM 781005

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR G RENGMA,

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, D o Enforcement,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

19.09.2025

- 1.** Heard Mr. G. Rengma, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. R. Dhar, learned Standing counsel, Enforcement Directorate for the respondents.
- 2.** This Interlocutory Application has been filed by the applicant in connection with WP(Crl.) No.27/2025 praying for review of the order dated

21.07.2025 passed in the aforesaid case, whereby the applicant was directed to implead the alleged accused person namely Debolal Garlosa as respondent No.2 in the aforesaid writ petition.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that the said Debolal Garlosa is politically very powerful and if he is impleaded as respondent No.2 in the connected writ petition, it would be detrimental to the case of the applicant and may expose the applicant to grave danger. The learned counsel for the applicant has also submitted that by making the same Debolal Garlosa as a respondent of this case it would alert him and he may take recourse to destruction of the evidence which would be counterproductive for which the connected writ petition has been filed.

4. I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for both sides. By order dated 21.07.2025 this Court directed the petitioner to implead Sri. Debolal Garlosa, Chief Executive Member of Dima Hasao Autonomous Council as respondent No.2 in the aforesaid case as it has been pleaded in the writ petition by the petitioner that the Enforcement Directorate has not initiated any complaint in respect of the complaint which has been lodged by the petitioner against the Debolal Garlosa. Since the reliefs sought for by the petitioner is in connection with investigation into the accusation made against Debolal Garlosa, this Court considered it proper to direct the petitioner to implead Sri. Debolal Garlosa as respondent No.2 in the aforesaid case and accordingly the direction was made for the petitioner by order dated 21.07.2025. This Court finds no irregularity or illegality in the order dated 21.07.2025 as impleading the said person namely Debola Garlosa in the

connected writ petition as one of the parties would be in conformity with the principles of natural justice. This Court therefore, finds no merit in the instant interlocutory application.

5. This interlocutory application is accordingly dismissed.

6. The applicant is directed to comply with the order date 21.07.2025 within a period of 15 working days of the date of this order.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant