

GAHC010172542025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WA/19/2026

SADHANA BARUAH
WIFE OF NILANJAL GOGOI,
R/O FALT NO 2H, CENTURY APARTMENT,
GANESHGURI, GS ROAD, NEAR KAR BHAVAN,
PO- ASSAM SACHIVALAYA, PS-DISPUR,
DISTRICT- KAMRUP METRO, ASSAM, 781006.

VERSUS

1.THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER OF TRANSPORT,
GOVT. OF ASSAM,
DISPUR, GUWAHATI-781006.

2:THE ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
TRANSPORT DEPTT.
DISPUR GUWAHATI 781006

3:THE DIST. TRANSPORT OFFICER
RANDL KAMRUP ULUBARI GUWAHATI 781007

4:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
GOVT. OF ASSAM FINANCE DEPTT.
DISPUR GUWAHATI KAMRUP (M) ASSAM 78100

For the Appellant(s) : Ms. B. Chowdhury, Advocate.

: Ms. Imsenkala, Advocate.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. R.B. Goswami, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

: Mr. P. Nayak, Additional Advocate General, Assam.

- B E F O R E -

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. ASHUTOSH KUMAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

27.02.2026

(Ashutosh Kumar, CJ)

We have heard Ms. B. Chowdhury assisted by Ms. Imsenkala, learned Advocate for the appellant. Mr. R.B. Goswami, learned Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Mr. P. Nayak, learned Additional Advocate General, Assam are present.

In this appeal, the appellant has challenged the order dated 03.04.2024 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court in WP(C) No.3845/2017.

For the reason of the appellant having worked for more than two decades against a leave vacancy with her appointment being purely temporary and liable to be terminated at any point of time without assigning any reason, with a further stipulation that such engagement would not entitle her with any right of regularization, her claim to be regularized in service was rejected by the learned Single Judge and rightly so.

There is no reason for us to take any different view in this case. The appeal is meritless.

For the afore-noted reason, the appeal is dismissed.

However, parting with this case, we direct that whatever salary,

if any, is due to the appellant, the same must be paid to her forthwith.

JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE

Comparing Assistant