

GAHC010171042025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Arb.A./4/2025

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 3 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY, RAILWAY BOARD, GOVT. OF INDIA,
RAIL BHAWAN, RAFI MARG, NEW DELHI-110001

2: THE NORTH EAST FRONTIER RAILWAY
REPRESENTED BY GENERAL MANAGER CONSTRUCTION
MALIGAON
GUWAHATI-11.

3: THE CHIEF ENGINEER
CONSTRUCTION NORTH EAST FRONTIER RAILWAY
MALIGAON
GUWAHATI-11.

4: THE DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER CON-4
NORTH EAST FRONTIER RAILWAY
IMPHAL
MANIPUR

VERSUS

ECI SANJOSE NAYAK (JV)
A JOINT VENTURE BETWEEN ECI ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION
COMPANY LTD, HAVING REGISTERED OFFICE AT PLOT NO. A12 13,
PANCHAVATI TOWNSHIP, MANIKONDA, RAJENDRA NAGAR MANDAL, RR
DISTRICT, HYDERABAD- 500089, ANDHRA PRADESH AND M/S
CONSTRUCTION SANJOSE, S.A AND NAYAK INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD,
HAVING ITS REGD. OFFICE AT 2ND FLOOR KAMKHYA COMMERCIAL,
OPPOSITE HARI SABHA, PANBAZAR, GUWAHATI-01.

Advocate for the Petitioner : MRS. R DEVI,

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

with

Case : I.A.(Civil)/3037/2025

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 3 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY
RAILWAY BOARD
GOVT. OF INDIA
RAIL BHAWAN
RAFI MARG
NEW DELHI-110001

2: THE NORTH EAST FRONTIER RAILWAY
REPRESENTED BY GENERAL MANAGER CONSTRUCTION
MALIGAON
GUWAHATI-11.

3: THE CHIEF ENGINEER
CONSTRUCTION NORTH EAST FRONTIER RAILWAY
MALIGAON
GUWAHATI-11.

4: THE DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER CON-4
NORTH EAST FRONTIER RAILWAY
IMPHAL
MANIPUR.
VERSUS

ECI SANJOSE NAYAK JV
A JOINT VENTURE BETWEEN ECI ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION
COMPANY LTD
HAVING REGISTERED OFFICE AT PLOT NO. A12 13
PANCHAVATI TOWNSHIP
MANIKONDA
RAJENDRA NAGAR MANDAL
RR DISTRICT
HYDERABAD- 500089
ANDHRA PRADESH AND M/S CONSTRUCTION SANJOSE
S.A AND NAYAK INFRASTRUCTURE PVT. LTD
HAVING ITS REGD. OFFICE AT 2ND FLOOR KAMKHYA COMMERCIAL
OPPOSITE HARI SABHA

PANBAZAR
GUWAHATI-01.

Advocate for : MRS. R DEVI
Advocate for : appearing for ECI SANJOSE NAYAK JV

in
Arb.A. Case No. 4/2025

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA

ORDER

25.02.2026

[1] Heard Mr. A.R. Tahbildar, the learned counsel appearing for Ms. R. Devi, the learned counsel for the appellant, who prays for an adjournment on the ground that there is some medical urgency of the learned engaged counsel for the appellant and hence, an adjournment is prayed for.

[2] The prayer is allowed.

[3] Let this matter be listed after 4(four) weeks on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant