

GAHC010160852022



2026:GAU-AS:9195

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/5434/2022

JIREN TANI
S/O KALEP MURA @ KALEP CHRISTIAN @ KALEP TANI,
VILL.- BOGPARA GAON,
P.O. AND P.S.- MORANHAT,
DIST.- CHARAIDEO (ASSAM), PIN- 785670.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS.
REP. BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPTT., DISPUR, GUWAHATI- 6.

2:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
CHARAIDEO
COURT ROAD
SONARI
PIN- 785690.

3:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE
CHARAIDEO
BORAHIBAGAN ALI ROAD
SONARI
PIN- 785690.

4:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
MAHMORA REVENUE CIRCLE
KAALIBARI
MORAHAT TOWN
PIN- 785690.

5:INDICA GOGOI
THE CIRCLE OFFICER

MAHMORA REVENUE CIRCLE
KAALIBARI
MORAHAT TOWN
PIN- 785670.

6:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
MORANHAT POLICE STATION
AT MORANHAT
TOWN
PIN- 786613.

7:ATUL PATOR
THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
MORANHAT POLICE STATION
AT MORANHAT
TOWN
PIN- 786613.

8:ANANDA TANTI
S/O MEGHNATH TANTI

VILL.- KHOOMTALE GAON

P.O. AND P.S.- MORANHAT

DIST.- CHARAIDEO (ASSAM)
PIN- 785670

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. D. P. Borah, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. R. Borpujari, Advocate
Mr. N. Goswami, Govt. Advocate

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH
ORDER

22.06.2026

Heard Mr. D. P. Borah, the learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the Petitioner. Mr. R. Borpujari, the learned counsel

appears on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and Mr. N. Goswami, the learned counsel appears on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2, 3, 4 & 6.

2. The instant writ petition so filed is a complete abuse of the process of the Court in as much as the Petitioner herein in spite of a suit being Title Suit No.19/2022 pending before the learned Court of the Munsiff at Charaideo has filed the instant writ petition seeking a direction upon the District Administration that the Private Respondents should be evicted from the land of the Petitioner.

3. It is the opinion of this Court that the instant writ petition is not maintainable in as much as the public law remedy under Article 226 of the Constitution cannot be invoked for settling personal scores between the Petitioner and the Private Respondents.

4. Accordingly, the instant writ petition, being an abuse of the process of the Court, stands dismissed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant