

GAHC010155242025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4025/2025

NABANITA CHAKRABARTY AND ANR
D/O SAMIR PRASAD CHAKRABARTY, VILL- HAKAMA PT. II (BILASIPARA
WARD NO. 9 TOWN), P.O.- HAKAMA, P.S.- BILASIPARA, DIST- DHUBRI
(ASSAM), PIN-783348

2: DEEPJITA CHAKRABARTY
D/O SAMIR PRASAD CHAKRABARTY
VILL- HAKAMA PT. II (BILASIPARA WARD NO. 9 TOWN)
P.O.- HAKAMA
P.S.- BILASIPARA
DIST- DHUBRI (ASSAM)
PIN-78334

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 6 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF
ASSAM (REVENUE AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT),
DISPUR, GHY-06

2: THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN-783301

3: THE SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER CIVIL
BILASIPARA
CUM CO-DISTRICT COMMISSIONER
BILASIPARA

4: THE CIRCLE OFFICER
BILASIPARA REVENUE CIRCLE
DIST- DHUBRI
ASSAM

PIN-783348

5:RAJ PRASHAD CHAKRABARTY
S/O LT. KIRITI PRASAD CHAKRABARTY
VILL- HAKAMA PT. II (BILASIPARA WARD NO. 9 TOWN)
P.O.- HAKAMA
P.S.- BILASIPARA
DIST- DHUBRI (ASSAM)
PIN-783348

6:PRAVATI SUTRADHAR
D/O MANINDRA SUTRADHAR
VILL- HAKAMA PT. II (BILASIPARA WARD NO. 9 TOWN)
P.O.- HAKAMA
P.S.- BILASIPARA
DIST- DHUBRI (ASSAM)
PIN-783348

7:HIRAK PRASAD CHAKRABARTY
S/O LT. DURGA PRASAD CHAKRABARTY
VILL- HAKAMA PT. II (BILASIPARA WARD NO. 9 TOWN)
P.O.- HAKAMA
P.S.- BILASIPARA
DIST- DHUBRI (ASSAM)
PIN-78334

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. M U MONDAL, A A AHMED,MR K ISLAM,MS. N NASRIN

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, REVENUE, GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN DEV CHOUDHURY

ORDER

23.07.2025

1. Heard Mr. M.U. Mondal, learned counsel for the petitioners.
2. The petitioners filed an application for demarcation of their land through the 'Sewa-setu' application, however, it is the grievance of the petitioners that such applications have not yet been decided and the

petitioners approached the appellate authority under section 8 of the Assam Right to Public Services Act, 2012 and it is also being kept pending and thereafter the petitioners preferred a Second Appeal under Section 8(4) of the Assam Right to Public Services Act, 2012, however, none of the aforesaid applications have been decided.

3. If the projection made by the petitioners is correct, then this court is of the prima facie opinion that beneficial legislation under the Assam Public Services Act, 2012 has been flouted on the face of it, by the authorities under the Act, 2012.

4. List this matter again on 25.07.2025 as the learned counsel for the State seeks time to obtain instruction.

J U D G E

Comparing Assistant