

GAHC010150662024



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3900/2024

SHYAMALI MURMU
WIFE OF LATE ELDRIN SOREN, RESIDENT OF VILLAGE THAKURPUR, P.O.
DINGDINGA AND P.S.- GOSSAIGAON, DIST- KOKRAJHAR, BTC, (ASSAM),
PIN- 783333

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 8 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM, WELFARE OF PLAIN TRIBES DEPARTMENT, ASSAM, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI-6

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
PENSION AND PUBLIC GRIEVANCES DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

3:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

4:THE DIRECTOR OF TREASURIES AND ACCOUNTS
ASSAM
DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

5:THE BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
BODOFANAGAR
KOKRAJHAR

DIST.- KOKRAJHAR
ASSAM
PIN- 783370

6:THE SECRETARY
BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL
(PERSONAL) DEPARTMENT
BODOFANAGAR
KOKRAJHAR
DIST. KOKRAJHAR
ASSAM
PIN- 783370

7:THE SECRETARY
BODOLAND TERRITORIAL COUNCIL
FINANCE DEPTT.
BODOFANAGAR
KOKRAJHAR
DIST.- KOKRAJHAR
ASSAM
PIN- 783370

8:THE LIASON OFFICER
BODOLAND GUEST HOUSE
KHANAPARA
GUWAHATI-32

9:THE TREASURY OFFICER
KOKRAJHAR TREASURY
DIST.- KOKRAJHAR
ASSAM
PIN- 78337

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. K R PATGIRI,

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, BTC, ,MR A CHALIHA, SC, FINANCE,MR R DHAR, SC,
WPT,,SC, AG,GA, ASSAM

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH MAZUMDAR

ORDER

Date : 10.03.2026

At the request of the learned counsel for the petitioner, list this matter

again on **16.03.2026**, by which time the Court shall be informed as to the entitlement which have been received by the petitioner.

It is seen that although this matter is of the year 2024 and relates of the payment of extraordinary family pension, which is not denied in principle by the respondents, the respondents in the BTC have not yet filed any affidavit-in-opposition neither are any instruction are available as to whether the extraordinary family pension is required by the O.M have been paid to the petitioner.

On the next date fixed, the BTC authorities more specifically, the respondent No. 5 shall have appropriate instruction placed before this Court regarding the entitlement of the petitioner to the extraordinary family pension as described in the O.M and if the petitioner is found not entitled, the reason thereof.

In the absence of the appropriate instruction being placed, this Court would require the respondent No. 5, 6 & 7 to be personally present in this Court on the next date of listing.

The instruction placed by Mr. A. Chaliha, learned Standing counsel for the Finance department is kept on record.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant