

GAHC010147492024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3753/2024

MRIGEN SARMA
S/O- LT. DASARATH SARMA, R/O- VILL- BAR BHALUKI, POST OFFICE-
BHALUKI, POLICE STATION- PATACHARKUCHI, DISTRICT- BARPETA,
ASSAM, PIN- 781371

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 7 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ASSAM, DISPUR, GUWAHATI-6

2:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
JANATA BHAWAN
GUWAHATI-6

3:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL JUSTICE AND EMPOWERMENT
DISPUR
JANATA BHAWAN
GUWAHATI-6

4:THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
JANATA BHAWAN
GUWAHATI-6

5:THE STATE LEVEL RECRUITMENT COMMISSION FOR CLASS-IV POSTS
REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN/SECRETARY
ASSAM ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF COLLEGE

JAWAHARNAGAR
KHANAPARA
GUWAHATI-22

6:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI-19

7:THE JOINT DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES
BARPETA
DISTRICT- BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN- 781301

8:THE MEDICAL BOARD
REPRESENTED BY THE PRINCIPAL-CUM-CHIEF SUPERINTENDANT
GAUHATI MEDICAL COLLEGE AND HOSPITAL
GUWAHATI-32
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. S K ROY, S MEDHI,MRS. M M ROY

Advocate for the Respondent : GA, ASSAM, SC, SLRC,SC, SOCIAL JUSTICE AND
EMPOWERMENT,SC, HEALTH AND F W,SC, SEC. EDU.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI

ORDER

31.07.2024.

Heard Shri S.K. Roy, learned counsel for the petitioner and Shri D Upamanyu, learned Standing Counsel, Health Department, Assam. Also heard Shri D Bora, learned State Counsel, Assam as well as Ms. A Lodha, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Shri RM Das, learned Standing Counsel, Social Justice and Empower Department. Ms. P Das, learned Standing Counsel, Secondary Education Department, Assam is also present.

Shri Upamanyu, learned Standing Counsel, Health and Family Welfare Department however submits that the same issue was unsuccessfully raised by some

petitioners in a writ petition and the appeal which was preferred being WA No. 228/2024 was withdrawn on 24.07.2024, however with a liberty to file a review. Till now, such review has not been filed.

In view of the above, the consideration of this matter will not be proper at this stage unless some orders are passed in the review that may be filed in those cases.

Shri Upamanyu, the learned counsel has also informed this Court that the counsel in the other case is the same.

List this case for consideration after a month for motion on which date the learned counsel for the petitioner would apprise the outcome in the review petition.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant