

GAHC010146752021



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : Review.Pet./28/2022

REJIA BEGUM
W/O ABDUL HAFIJ
VILLAGE GHUNUCHA HABI,
PS JAGIROAD, DIST MORIGAON, ASSAM, 782410

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 5 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, NEW DELHI 11001.

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT.
OF ASSAM
HOME DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI 781006

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

MORIGAON
ASSAM
782105

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (B)
MORIGAON
ASSAM
782105

5:THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

NEW DELHI 110001

6:THE STATE CO ORDINATOR
NATIONAL REGISTER OF CITIZENS(NRC) ASSAM
78103

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR H R A CHOUDHURY

Advocate for the Respondent : ASSTT.S.G.I.

Linked Case : WP(C)/5039/2019

REJIA BEGUM
ABDUL HAFIJ
R/O JAGIROAD
DIST. MORIGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782410

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS.
REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS
NEW DELHI

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
HOME DEPTT. DISPUR
GUWAHATI-6

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MORIGAON
DIST. MORIGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782105

4:THE CHIEF ELECTION OFFICER OF THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF
INDIA

NIRVACHAN SADAN
ASHOKA ROAD
NEW DELHI-110001

5:THE OFFICE OF THE STATE COORDINATOR OF NATIONAL
REGISTRATION (NRC)
ASSAM
1ST FLOOR

ACHYUT PLAZA
BHANGAGARH
GUWAHATI
ASSAM-781005
6:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (BORDER)
MORIGAON
DIST. MORIGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782105
7:THE OFFICER IN CHARGE
JAGIROAD POLICE STATION
DIST. MORIGAON
ASSAM
PIN-782410

Advocate for : G UDDIN

Advocate for : ASSTT.S.G.I. appearing for THE UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANASH RANJAN PATHAK
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA

ORDER

11-08-2022

(K.R.Surana, J)

Heard Ms. S Das, learned counsel for the review petitioner and Mr. A. Kalita, learned Standing Counsel, Foreigners' Tribunal for the respondent Nos. 2 and 4.

Mr. A. Kalita, learned Standing Counsel, Foreigners' Tribunal has raised a preliminary objection with regard to the maintainability of this review petition in light of Article 124 of the Schedule of the Limitation Act, 1963.

At this juncture, Ms. Das, learned counsel for the review petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has explained the delay in paragraph-11 of this review petition and as the Registry did not make any endorsement of objection at the time of filing of this review petition, no application for condonation of

delay was filed.

Hence, the learned counsel for the review petitioner prays for two weeks' time with a liberty to file application for condonation of delay.

Prayer is allowed.

Registry shall list this matter after two weeks on filing of such application for condonation of delay as and when this Special Bench is reconstituted.

Liberty is also granted to the State to file their objection to such application for condonation of delay, if so advised.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant