

GAHC010060142018



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**

**Case No. : MACApp./708/2018**

SANJAY DAS  
S/O SRI NEPAL DAS, RESIDENT OF KAULI CHANDRAPUR, PS TAMULPUR,  
DIST BAKSA, ASSAM

VERSUS

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE COMPANY LIMITED AND 2 ORS  
DIVISIONAL MANAGER, DIVISIONAL OFFICE, GUWAHATI 781005  
(BIJOYNAGAR BRANCH) (INSURER OF THE VEHICLE NO AS 14/6079)

2:SRI HARI DEV DAS  
S/O SRI GOJEN DAS  
VILLAGE RANGIA TINIALI  
POLICE STATION RANGIA DISTRICT KAMRUP ASSAM-781354  
(OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO. AS 14/6079)

3:SRI KRISHNA NATH  
S/O LATE NANDA NATH VILLAGE BANEGAON  
PS TAMULPUR  
DIST NALBARI  
ASSAM  
781367  
OWNER OF THE VEHICLE NO. AS 14/607

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MR. A LAL, MR. M PANDIT, MR M BEZBARUAH, MS. S G MOMIN, MR. P K GARODIA, MR B DAS

**Advocate for the Respondent** : MS. S S SAIKIA (R-2), MR. M K SHARMA (R-2)

WITH

Case : MACApp./488/2017

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD.  
HAVING ITS REGISTERED OFFICE and HEAD OFFICE AT 24 WHITES ROAD  
CHENNAIA AND ITS REGIONAL OFFICE AT G.S. ROAD  
DISPUR  
GUWAHATI.

VERSUS

SANJAY DAS and 2 ORS

S/O SRI NEPAL DAS  
R/O KAULY CHANDARPUR  
PS. TAMULPUR  
DIST. BAKSA  
BTAD  
ASSAM  
PIN CODE 781367

2:HARI DEV DAS

S/O LATE GAJEN DAS  
R/O RANGIA TOWN  
WARD NO. 6  
P.S. RANGIA  
DIST. KAMRUP R  
ASSAM  
PIN CODE 781354

3:KRISHNA NATH

S/O LATE NANDA NATH  
R/O VILL. BANIGAON  
P.S. TAMULPUR  
DIST. NALBARI  
PIN 781367

-----  
Advocate for : MS.M SAIKIA  
Advocate for : MR. A LAL appearing for SANJAY DAS and 2 ORS

WITH

Case : I.A.(Civil)/3475/2017

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO. LTD.

VERSUS

SANJAY DAS and 2 ORS

-----  
Advocate for : MS.M SAIKIA  
Advocate for : appearing for SANJAY DAS and 2 ORS

In

Case : MACApp./488/2017

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

**ORDER**

**24.09.2024**

- 1.** Heard Ms. P. Borthakur, learned counsel for the respondents, in MACApp. Case No. 708/2018 and the learned counsel for the appellant in MACApp. Case No. 488/2017, who prays for an adjournment on the ground of personal difficulties.
- 2.** The prayer is not objected to by the learned counsel for the other side.
- 3.** Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel, the prayer is allowed.

**4.** Let this matter be listed after Puja Vacation, on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**