

GAHC010143742026



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3670/2026

ZIAUR RAHMAN
SON OF LATE ABDUL MANNAF, R/O- HOUSE NO. 10, SHANTI PATH,
BORSOJAI, P.O. BELTOLA, P.S. HATIGAON, DISTRICT- KAMRUP M,
GUWAHATI -781028, ASSAM

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS
(MHA),GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, NORTH BLOCK, NEW DELHI- 110001.

2:STATE BANK OF INDIA
LOCAL HEAD OFFICE
OPPOSITE ASSAM SECRETARIAT
G.S. ROAD
DISPUR
GUWAHATI- 781006
ASSAM.

3:THE BRANCH MANAGER
STATE BANK OF INDIA
HATIGAON CHARIALI BRANCH
P.S. HATIGAON
DIST. KAMRUP METRO
ASSAM
PIN- 781038.

4:INDIAN CYBER CRIME COORDINATION CENTRE
5TH FLOOR
NDCC-II BUILDING
JAI SINGH ROAD
NEW DELHI-110001.

5:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE

KOTWALI POLICE STATION
AMRAVATI CITY
MAHARASHTRA
PIN- 444606.

6:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
BADLAPUR WEST POLICE STATION
THANE CITY
MAHARASHTRA-421503.

7:THE OFFICER IN CHARGE
GANGYAL POLICE STATION
DISTRICT JAMMU
JAMMU KASHMIR 180010

7:THE OFFICER-IN-CHARGE
GANGYAL POLICE STATION
DIST. JAMMU
JAMMU AND KASHMIR
PIN- 180010

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. K I MAZUMDER, MR. N J GOGOI

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I.,

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MANISH CHOUDHURY

ORDER

Date : 17.07.2026

Heard Mr. J. Abedin, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. R. Devi, learned Central Government Counsel [CGC] for the respondent no. 1.

2. The petitioner has stated that he has been maintaining a Savings Bank Account no. 20004917036 at Hatigaon Chariali Branch of the State Bank of India [SBI] [the respondent no. 3] since 2008. The petitioner has come to learn on 15.05.2026 that the Savings Bank Account of the petitioner has been kept debit freezed. On further enquiry, the respondent no. 3 has furnished information to the effect that the Savings Bank Account of the petitioner has been kept debit freezed on receipt of information about three suspicious transactions amounting to Rs. 3,090/-, in total, in the Savings Bank Account of the petitioner on

11.03.2026 and 12.03.2026 respectively.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that due to debit freeze instruction, the petitioner has not been able to operate the bank account.

4. Mr. Abedin, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that if the disputed amount is only Rs. 3,090/- then the Bank has no authority to keep the account debit freezed over and above Rs. 3,090/- and such action on the part of the Bank is unauthorised in law.

5. Issue notice, returnable on 17.08.2026.

6. As Ms. Devi has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of the respondent no. 1, issuance of formal notice in respect of the said respondent stands dispensed with. The learned counsel for the petitioner shall serve an extra copy of the writ petition along with annexures, to Ms. Devi within 2 [two] working days from today.

7. The petitioner shall take steps for service of notice upon the respondent nos. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 by speed post within 2 [two] working days from today. In addition, as sought for, the petitioner is permitted to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent nos. 2 & 3 by dasti service, which is to be routed through the Registry of the Court. After service of notice upon the respondent nos. 2 & 3 by way of dasti, the petitioner shall file a compliance affidavit to that effect before the returnable date.

8. The respondent Bank authorities shall bring its stand by way of an affidavit on or before the returnable date. The affidavit shall address on the source of the instruction to keep the bank account of the petitioner debit freezed and the extent of the suspicious transactions, if any.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant