

GAHC010141692025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/3658/2025

TANKESWAR NATH
SON OF LATE UMESH CHANDRA NATH,
B.SC, B.ED., MA, SENIOR MOST ASSISTANT TEACHER, SARTHEBARI,
HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, SARTHEBARI, R/O VILL AND P.O. GAHIYA,
DIST. BARPETA, ASSAM, PIN-781311.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 3 ORS.
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM,
DEPARTMENT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION (SECONDARY EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT), ASSAM (CIVIL) SECRETARIAT, BLOCK-C, DISPUR,
GUWAHATI, ASSAM, PIN-781006.

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION

ASSAM
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI
ASSAM
PIN-781019

3:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS

BARPETA DISTRICT CIRCLE
BARPETA
ASSAM

4:RATUL DAS
POST GRADUATE TEACHER
SARTHEBARI H.S. SCHOOL
VILL AN DP.O. SARTHEBARI

DIST. BARPETA
ASSAM
PIN-781307

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR. B PURKAYASTHA, MR. J P BARUAH

Advocate for the Respondent : SC, SEC. EDU.,

Linked Case : WP(C)/2003/2025

TANKESWAR NATH
S/O LT UMESH CHANDRA NATH BSC BED
MA SENIOR MOST ASSISTANT TEACHER SARTHEBARI HIGHER
SECONDARY SCHOOL SARTHEBARI R/O VILLAGE AND PO GAHIYA
DISTRICT BARPETA
ASSAM 781311

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT OF ASSAM
DEPTT OF SCHOOL EDUCATION SECONDARY EDUCATION DEPTT ASSAM
CIVIL SECRETARIAT
BLOCK C
DISPUR
GUWAHATI
ASSAM 781006

2:THE DIRECTOR OF SECONDARY EDUCATION
KAHILIPARA
GUWAHATI
ASSAM 781019

3:THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS
BARPETA DISTRICT CIRCLE
BARPETA
ASSAM

Advocate for : MR. B PURKAYASTHA
Advocate for : SC
SEC. EDU. appearing for THE STATE OF ASSAM AND ORS

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NELSON SAILO**

ORDER

Date : 11.08.2025

Mr. U. Sarma, learned Standing Counsel, Secondary Education Department shall obtain instructions on the claim made by the writ petitioner as well as on the additional affidavit filed by the petitioner, whereby, it is stated that in a case of similar nature, persons who do not have 3(three) years balance of service remaining are allowed to hold the post of Principal-in-Charge basis. Therefore, the respondents cannot deny the same benefit to the instant petitioner for not having 3(three) years of balance service.

List the matter again after 3(three) weeks, on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant