

GAHC010139342025



undefined

THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : CRP/20/2026

AMIRAN SINGH
S/O LATE RAM NARAYAN SINGH, R/O AMINGAON KALIBARI, NEAR YUVA
VIKASH KENDRA, P.O.- AMINGAON, GUWAHATI-31, DIST- KAMRUP (M),
ASSAM

VERSUS

ON THE DEATH OF HARISADHAN PAUL, HIS LEGAL HEIRS, SMTI. NAYAN
TARA PAUL AND 7 ORS.
WIFE OF LATE HARISADHAN PAUL, RESIDENT OF AMINGAON KALIBARI,
P.O.- AMINGAON, GUWAHATI-31, DISTRICT- KAMRUP, ASSAM

2: BASAB PAUL
SON OF LATE HARISADHAN PAUL
RESIDENT OF AMINGAON KALIBARI
P.O.- AMINGAON
GUWAHATI-31
DISTRICT- KAMRUP
ASSAM

3: PRADIP PAUL
SON OF LATE HARISADHAN PAUL
RESIDENT OF AMINGAON KALIBARI
P.O.- AMINGAON
GUWAHATI-31
DISTRICT- KAMRUP
ASSAM

4: SUDIP PAUL
SON OF LATE HARISADHAN PAUL
RESIDENT OF AMINGAON KALIBARI
P.O.- AMINGAON

GUWAHATI-31
DISTRICT- KAMRUP
ASSAM

5:DR. DULALI PAUL
DAUGHTER OF LATE HARISADHAN PAUL
RESIDENT OF AMINGAON KALIBARI
P.O.- AMINGAON
GUWAHATI-31
DISTRICT- KAMRUP
ASSAM

6:SMTI. SAMANA PAUL
DAUGHTER OF LATE HARISADHAN PAUL
RESIDENT OF AMINGAON KALIBARI
P.O.- AMINGAON
GUWAHATI-31
DISTRICT- KAMRUP
ASSAM

7:SMTI. ANU PAUL
DAUGHTER OF LATE HARISADHAN PAUL
RESIDENT OF AMINGAON KALIBARI
P.O.- AMINGAON
GUWAHATI-31
DISTRICT- KAMRUP
ASSAM

8:SMTI. SUTAPA PAUL
DAUGHTER OF LATE HARISADHAN PAUL
RESIDENT OF AMINGAON KALIBARI
P.O.- AMINGAON
GUWAHATI-31
DISTRICT- KAMRUP
ASSA

Advocate for the Petitioner : J TALUKDAR, MR M BORO,MR. R ALI

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN**

ORDER

Date : 23.02.2026

Heard Mr. R. Ali, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. In this petition, under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 20.05.2025, passed by the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kamrup, Amingaon, in Title Execution Case No. 12/2014.
3. It is to be noted here that vide impugned order dated 20.05.2025, the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Kamrup, Amingaon ('Executing Court', for short) has issued writ for delivery of possession of the decretal land.
4. Mr. Ali, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the decree was only for declaration of possession, but the learned Executing Court, vide impugned order dated 20.05.2025, has issued writ for delivery of possession.
5. The submission of Mr. Ali needs further consideration.
6. Let notice be issued to the respondents, returnable in four weeks.
7. Steps be taken upon the respondents by way of speed post as well as by usual process within a week from today.
8. List the matter after four weeks.
9. Till returnable date, the execution of writ, which was directed to be issued, vide impugned order dated 20.05.2025, stands stayed.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant