

GAHC010136762026



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : MC/43/2026

MD AJAHAR ALI
S/O- AKUB ALI ALIAS IYAKUB ALI ALIAS EUKUB ALI R/O- VILLAGE-
BARPATHAR P.S. NORTH LAKHIMPUR DISTRICT - LAKHIMPUR, ASSAM.-
787001.

VERSUS

THE UNION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, NEW DELHI 110001.

2:THE STATE OF ASSAM
REPRESENTED BY THE COMMISSIONER SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF ASSAM
HOME DEPARTMENT
DISPUR
GUWAHATI 781006.

3:THE ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF ELECTION COMMISSIONER
NIRVACHAN SADAN
ASHOK ROAD
NEW DELHI-110001

4:THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE BORDER
LAKHIMPUR
NORTH LAKHIMPUR 787001

5:THE DISTRICT COMMISSIONER LAKHIMPUR

NORTH LAKHIMPUR 787001

6:THE STATE COORDINATOR

NATIONAL REGISTER OF CITIZENS
ACHYUT PLAZA
BEHIND HUB
BHANGAGARH
GUWAHATI-78100

Advocate for the Petitioner : MR SUBHRAJIT SAIKIA, MR. A HASAN,MR. N HASAN

Advocate for the Respondent : DY.S.G.I., GA, ASSAM,SC, ECI,SC, NRC,SC, F.T

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KALYAN RAI SURANA
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

ORDER

Date : 17-07-2026
(K.R.Surana,J)

Heard Mr. N. Hasan, learned counsel for the applicant. Also heard Mr. S.K.Singh, CGC, Mr. A. I. Ali, learned Standing counsel, ECI, Mr. J. Payeng, learned Standing counsel, FT & Border Matters and Mrs. R. B. Bora, learned Junior Government Advocate for the State.

2. The applicant is the petitioner in WP(C)/8664/2018. In the proceedings of the said writ petition, the petitioner was represented by his learned counsel, whose appearance is recorded only in the order dated 09.01.2019. The petitioner remained unrepresented when the matter was subsequently listed on 08.02.2019, 20.05.2024, 27.05.2024 and 03.06.2024 and on the said date, owing to the previous and the current default, the matter was dismissed for non-prosecution.

3. The applicant projects that he came to know about the dismissal of the writ petition from the website of this Court. Without giving the date on which the applicant came to know about the dismissal of the writ petition for non-

prosecution, the applicant claims that there was no negligence or laches on the part of the applicant, and the present application has been filed for restoring the writ petition.

4. In view of the case projected by the applicant that the Advocate was in default, the Court is inclined to issue notice to the President/Secretary of the Gauhati High Court Bar Association and the Gauhati High Court Advocate's Association, to address the Court as to why a direction should not be issued to mandatorily require the Advocates in all pending matters before this Court to inform the Registry about the phone/mobile numbers of the respective parties or authorized representative of the respective parties, so that the effective orders from time to time can be sent through sms or other messaging service.

5. In this regard, the learned counsel for the applicant shall serve a downloaded copy of this order to the President/Secretary of both the Bar Associations, and the proof of acknowledgment of service of the downloaded copy of this order be produced before the Court on the next date of listing.

List on **05.08.2026**.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant