

GAHC010134812022



undefined

THE GAUhati HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

Case No. : WP(C)/4580/2022

AMZAD ALI FAKIR
S/O LT. AHER ALI FAKIR @ AHER ALI, VILL-KATALDI PT.III, P.O. AND P.S.-
BILASIPARA, DIST-DHUBRI (ASSAM), PIN-783348

VERSUS

THE STATE OF ASSAM AND 6 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVT. OF ASSAM, DISPUR,
GHY-06

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF ASSAM
REVENUE (RR) AND DISASTER MANAGEMENT DEPTT.
DISPUR
GHY-06

3:THE DIRECTOR OF LAND RECORD
RUPNAGAR
GHY-32

4:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
DHUBRI
P.S. AND P.O.-DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN-783301

5:THE SETTLEMENT OFFICER
DHUBRI
P.O. AND P.S. AND DIST- DHUBRI
ASSAM
PIN-783301

6:THE CHAIRMAN

BILASIPARA SUB-DIVISIONAL LAND ADVISORY BOARD
CUM-SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER (C)
BILASIPARA
P.O. AND P.S.-BILASIPARA
DIST- DHUBRI ASSAM
PIN-783348

7:THE CIRCLE OFFICER
BILASIPARA REVENUE CIRCLE
P.O. AND P.S.-BILASIPARA
DIST-DHUBRI
ASSAM PIN-78334

WP(C) No.4580/2022

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. HR Ahmed, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. N. Das, Advocate
Mr. S. Dutta, Advocate

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH
ORDER

20.02.2026

Mr. H. R. Ahmed learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. Mr. N. Das, the learned counsel appears on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 4, 5, 6 & 7 and Mr. S. Dutta, the learned counsel appears on behalf of the respondent Nos.2 & 3.

2. The petitioner herein is aggrieved by the delay in consideration of the application filed for allotment of settlement of 3 kathas 3 lechas of land covered by Dag No.1007 at village Kathaldi Part-III under Bilasipara Revenue Circle of Dhubri District which application was filed by the petitioner as far back

as on 24.03.2021.

3. Mr. S Dutta as well as Mr. N. Das, the learned counsels appearing on behalf the respondents submitted that it being the process adopted by the State of Assam that a person if claims settlement under the Assam Land Policy of 2019, the person has to file an application in the Sewa Setu Portal, the petitioner's application can only be considered if such application is filed in the Sewa Setu Portal.

4. Mr. H. R. Ahmed learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner therefore submitted that taking into account the said system, the petitioner would apply in the Sewa Setu Portal, and as such, would not further pursue with the instant proceedings.

5. Taking into account the above, the instant writ petition stands closed with an observation that the petitioner would be at liberty to file application in the Sewa Setu Portal seeking settlement of the land claimed to be under the possession of the petitioner.

6. The instant order shall not prejudice the petitioner while filing such application before the Sewa Setu Portal.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant